

State of West Virginia DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES Office of Inspector General Board of Review P. O. Box 2590 Fairmont, WV 26555

Joe Manchin III
Governor

Martha Yeager Walker
Secretary

July 7, 2006

Dear Ms. :

Attached is a copy of the findings of fact and conclusions of law on your hearing held May23, 2006. Your hearing request was based on the Department of Health and Human Resources' proposal to establish and seek collection of a cash assistance repayment claim against your household.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearings Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

Eligibility for Cash Assistance, WV Works, is based on current policy and regulations. Some of these regulations state that when an AG (Assistance Group) has received more cash assistance than it was entitled to receive, corrective action is taken by establishing a claim for the overpayment. The claim is the difference between the amount of benefits received and the amount of benefits to which the AG was entitled {West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §20.3}

The information submitted at your hearing fails to demonstrate that you were not eligible to receive WV WORKS (cash assistance) in December 2005 and January 2006.

It is the decision of the State Hearing Officer to **reverse** the proposal of the Department to establish and seek collection of a WV WORKS cash assistance repayment claim in the amount of \$561.

Sincerely,

Thomas E. Arnett State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

cc: Erika H. Young, Chairman, Board of Review Marshall E. Daniels, SRI

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

	Claimant,	
v.	Action Number: 06-BOR-1280	
West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources,		
	Respondent.	
	DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER	
I.	INTRODUCTION:	
	This is a report of the State Hearing Officer resulting from a fair hearing concluded on July 7, 2006 for This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in the Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources. This fair hearing was convened on May 23, 2006 on a timely appeal filed February 28, 2006.	
II.	PROGRAM PURPOSE:	
	The Program entitled WV Works is up cooperatively between the Federal and State governments and administered by the West Virginia Department of Health & Human Resources.	
	WV Works was created by Senate Bill 140, Article 9 of the West Virginia Code and the Temporary Assistance to needy Families Block Grant, Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The purpose of WV Works is to provide assistance to needy families with children so they can be cared for in their own home, reduce dependency by promoting job preparation, work and marriage. The goals of WV Works are to achieve more efficient and effective use of public assistance funds, reduce dependence on public programs by promoting self-sufficiency and structure the assistance programs to emphasize employment and personal responsibility.	

III. PARTICIPANTS:

Attorney at Law, Counsel for the Claimant Marshall E. Daniels, State Repayment Investigator, DHHR

Presiding at the Hearing was Thomas E. Arnett, State Hearing Officer and a member of the State Board of Review.

IV. QUESTIONS TO BE DECIDED:

The question to be decided is whether the Agency was correct in their actions to establish and collect an overpayment of WV Works, cash assistance.

V. APPLICABLE POLICY:

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual Policy §§8.6 & 20.3

VI. LISTING OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ADMITTED:

Department's Exhibits:

DHS-1	Repayment Referral dated 12/23/05
DHS-2	Cash assistance amounts paid to for December 2005 and
	January 2006 through the State of West Virginia
DHS-3	RAPIDS case information Printed on 12/8/05 (page 4).
DHS-4	RAPIDS case information printed 12/8/05 (page 5).
DHS-5	Correspondence from Marshall Daniels dated 2/28/06
DHS-6	CIS Cash Medical Assistance Transcript for 11/05 to 2/06
DHS-7	List of individuals reportedly included in the case in
DHS-8	Cash Assistance Claim Determination for December 2005 & January 2006
DHS-9	WVIMM, Chapter §8.6 (Non-Duplication of Benefits)
DHS-10	Notification of Cash Assistance and/or School Clothing Allowance
	Overpayment dated 2/14/06
DHS-11	Page 8 of the Rights and Responsibilities signed by the Claimant on 12/8/05

Claimant's Exhibits:

C-1	Notarized statement from dated 11/8/05
C-2a,b&c	Temporary Guardianship Authorization for Care of Minor (for
) – signed and notarized on 11/17/05
C-3a,b&c	Temporary Guardianship Authorization for Care of Minor (for
) – signed and notarized on 11/17/05
C-4a,b&c	Temporary guardianship Authorization for care of Minor (for
	Foutty) – signed and notarized on 11/17/05

C-5a,l	b&c	Consent for the Claimant to authorize medical or dental procedures (care and treatment) for and and			
FIND	FINDINGS OF FACT:				
1)	Assista assista includ	about February 16, 2006, the Claimant was notified via a Notification of Cash ance and/or School Clothing Allowance Overpayment (DHS-10) of a \$561 cash nce overpayment during the period 12/05/05 to 1/31/06. Page 2 of this exhibit es a note at the bottom which states – "WV WORKS payments were issued for and when both children had a active cash assistance case in the of Pennsylvania for December 2005 and January 2006."			
2)	benefi	Department submitted exhibits DHS-2 to show that the Claimant received cash ts in the State of West Virginia for and in December 2005 nuary 2006.			
3)	of We not red Depar	epartment submitted Exhibit DHS-3 and DHS-4 to show that and were included in the WV WORKS cash assistance benefits issued by the State st Virginia and that the Claimant reported that and were ceiving cash assistance benefits from another state. It should be noted that the tment did not allege or present any evidence to indicate that the Claimant was onally misrepresented her circumstance.			
4)	Claima DHS-0 Januar does r	the Department's repayment unit was notified that children included in the ant's cash assistance case were reportedly included in a cash assistance case in (DHS-1), the Department requested information from the Health & Human Services office on February 28, 2006 (DHS-5) and received which reportedly shows cash assistance disbursed from the State of for and during the months of December 2005 and the y 2006. However, it was noted by Counsel for the Claimant that Exhibit DHS-5 not have any markings to identify it as an official government document and it is include information regarding what the benefit is or for whom the benefit was			
5)	are incommendate are in	It DHS-7 is an untitled document that is reported to be the list of individuals who cluded in the cash assistance case in It should be noted that the of and appear on this document, however, this document dated, it does not have any governmental agency markings, and the purpose of the nent is not included.			
6)	to sho Claima Decen	Department submitted Exhibit DHS-8, Cash Assistance Claim Determination, we how the repayment amount was calculated. According to the Department, the ant was not entitled to receive cash assistance for and in aber 2005 (\$260) and January 2006 (\$301). As a result, the Department is grepayment of cash assistance in the amount of \$561.			

VII.

- The evidence submitted on behalf of the Claimant, exhibits C-1 through C-5c, verifies 7) that the Claimant had temporary guardianship of the two children in question effective November 17, 2005.
- 8) West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 8.6 (Non-duplication of Benefits) states that a client may not receive Food Stamp benefits, WV WORKS or Medicaid concurrently in more than one county in West Virginia or more than one state. In addition, he may not receive different types of benefits in more than one county or state at the same time. The possibility of intentional misrepresentation must be explored when it is discovered that the client is receiving benefits of any type in more than one county at the same time. See Common Chapters Manual for procedures involving misrepresentation.
- 9) West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 20.3 states that repayment is pursued for cash assistance overpayments made in the WV WORKS Program. Policy goes on to say when an AG has received more cash assistance than it was entitled to receive, corrective action is taken by establishing a claim for the overpayment. The claim is the difference between the amount of benefits received and the amount of benefits to which the AG was entitled. The policy by which cash assistance claims are referred, established, collected and maintained follows.
- 10) West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources, Common Chapters Manual, 780.D states that the State Hearing Officer shall weigh the evidence and testimony presented and render a decision based solely on proper evidence given at the hearing.
- 11) West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources, Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 780.D.1 states that if the policy was misapplied or other incorrect decision was made, the State Hearing Officer will reverse.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

1)

1)	The policy that governs the WV WORKS Program provides guidelines regarding the Non-duplication of benefits. This policy prohibits an individual from receiving WV WORKS (cash assistance benefits) simultaneously from another state.
2)	In this case, the Department contends that cash assistance benefits were paid on behalf of and by the State of and West Virginia for the months of December 2005 and January 2006. While the Department is not alleging that the Claimant committed any fraudulent acts to secure benefits, the Department has proposed repayment of cash assistance in the amount of \$561 due to the duplication of benefits.

gı W pe	ne evidence submitted at the hearing, however, verifies that the Claimant had hardianship of the two children for which benefits were duplicated. While WV CORKS policy prohibits the duplication of cash benefits, policy is not intended to enalize an otherwise eligible caretaker relative who clearly had guardianship of the hildren in question. Based on the evidence, it would appear that the State of should review their records to determine if repayment should be pursued.	
DECISIO	ON:	
State Hea	lewing the evidence and the applicable policy and regulations, it is the decision of the ring Officer to reverse the proposal of the Department to establish and seek collection WORKS cash assistance repayment claim in the amount of \$561.	
RIGHT OF APPEAL:		
See Attac	hment	
ATTACH	IMENTS:	
The Claimant's Recourse to Hearing Decision		
Form IG-	BR-29	
ENTERI	ED this 7th Day of July, 2006.	
	Thomas E. Arnett State Hearing Officer	

IX.

X.

XI.