

State of West Virginia DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES

Office of Inspector General Board of Review 2699 Park Avenue, Suite 100 Huntington, WV 25704

Earl Ray Tomblin Governor Michael J. Lewis, M.D., Ph. D. Cabinet Secretary

	January 3, 2012
Dear:	

Attached is a copy of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law on your hearing held December 1, 2011. Your hearing request was based on the Department of Health and Human Resources' decision to deny prior authorization for Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) services.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

Medicaid regulations require prior authorization on radiological services such as the requested MRI. The referring or treating provider must submit the appropriate codes and clinical documentation and any other pertinent information to be used for clinical justification of services by the Utilization Management Contractor (UMC). The information must be provided to the UMC, and the prior authorization granted, prior to services being rendered. (West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services Provider Manual, Chapter 528: Radiology Services, §528.7)

Information submitted at your hearing revealed that the necessary information for clinical justification of MRI prior authorization was not provided, and the imaging services could not be approved.

It is the decision of the State Hearing Officer to **uphold** the action of the Department to deny prior authorization for MRI services.

Sincerely,

Todd Thornton State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

cc: Erika H. Young, Chairman, Board of Review Amy Workman, Department Representative

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

IN RE	Ξ:,	
	Claimant,	
	v.	ACTION NO.: 11-BOR-1991
	WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOUR	
	Respondent.	
	DECISION OF STATE H	EARING OFFICER
I.	INTRODUCTION:	
	3, 2012, for This hearing was he Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700	resulting from a fair hearing concluded on January dd in accordance with the provisions found in the of the West Virginia Department of Health and invened on December 1, 2011, on a timely appeal
II.	PROGRAM PURPOSE:	
	medical assistance program commonly kno Human Resources administers the Medical Federal Regulations. The Bureau for Medical regulations to implement Federal and State	y Act established, under Title XIX, a Federal-State wn as Medicaid. The Department of Health and d Program in West Virginia in accordance with cal Services is responsible for the development of requirements for the program. The Department of ms for reimbursements to providers participating in
III.	PARTICIPANTS:	
	, Claimant Stacy Hanshaw, Department representative, Department witness	
	Presiding at the Hearing was Todd Thornton	State Hearing Officer and a member of the State

IV. QUESTION TO BE DECIDED:

Board of Review.

The question to be decided is whether or not the Department was correct to deny prior authorization for Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) services to the Claimant.

V. APPLICABLE POLICY:

West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services Provider Manual, Chapter 528: Radiology Services, §528.7

VI. LISTING OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ADMITTED:

Department's Exhibits:

- D-1 West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services Provider Manual, Chapter 528: Radiology Services, §528.7
- D-2 InterQual 2011 Imaging Criteria: Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Lumbar Spine
- D-3 Information received from MD
- D-4 Denial notices dated August 11, 2011

VII. FINDINGS OF FACT:

Stacy Hanshaw, representative for the Department's Bureau for Medical Services, testified that, in response to a request (Exhibit D-3) for Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) services for the Claimant, denial notices were issued on or about August 11, 2011, to the Claimant, his prescribing practitioner, and the servicing provider (Exhibit D-4). The notice explained the reason for denial as follows, in pertinent part:

Interqual criteria not met.

The information submitted for review did not meet the clinical indications for the requested MRI of the Lumbar Spine. There was no documentation of an adequate, failed course of conservative treatment with NSAIDs for greater than three weeks. Therefore, the Interqual criteria was not met and the request for MRI of the Lumbar Spine cannot be approved.

- 2) ------, a registered nurse employed by West Virginia Medical Institute (WVMI) testified that she reviewed the information with the Claimant's request (Exhibit D-3) against the InterQual criteria (Exhibit D-2), under indication 100 Suspected nerve root compression by lumbar disc herniation/foraminal stenosis. ------- testified that the information she reviewed did not meet the requirements under area 122-1-A-1, which requires documentation of a failed trial of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) usage lasting at least three weeks. ------ was unable to approve the requested imaging procedure, and submitted it for physician review; the request was subsequently denied by the physician reviewer.
- 3) The Claimant had no dispute of the Department testimony that there was insufficient medical documentation to support approval of the requested MRI. He testified that he needs the MRI because he is suffering from pain and numbness.

4) Policy from the West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services Provider Manual, Chapter 528: Radiology Services, §528.7, provides the prior authorization requirements for imaging procedures, and states, in pertinent part:

For radiology services requiring prior authorization for medical necessity by the Utilization Management Contractor (UMC), the referring/treating provider must submit the appropriate CPT code with clinical documentation and any other pertinent information to be used for clinical justification of services by the UMC. The information must be provided to the UMC, and the prior authorization granted, prior to services being rendered. Prior authorization requests for radiological services must be submitted within the timeframe required by the UMC.

VIII. CONCLUSION OF LAW:

Policy provides that prior authorization is required for the proposed imaging services, and that documentation must be provided for prior authorization approval. Undisputed testimony and evidence from the Department showed that the Claimant's MRI request did not include sufficient information to meet the clinical indications for the services. The Department was correct in its decision to deny prior authorization for MRI services.

IX. DECISION:

It is the decision of the State Hearing Officer to **uphold** the Department's denial of prior authorization for Magnetic Resonance Imaging services for the Claimant.

X. RIGHT OF APPEAL:

See Attachment

XI. ATTACHMENTS:

The Claimant's Recourse to Hearing Decision

Form IG-BR-29

ENTERED this _____ Day of January, 2012.

Todd Thornton
State Hearing Officer