

# STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Board of Review

4190 West Washington Street Charleston, West Virginia 25313 Email: raywoods@wvdhhr.org

June 17, 2005

Martha Yeager Walker Secretary

ъ	3.6	
Dear	N/I c	•
Dear	1715.	

Joe Manchin III

Governor

Attached is a copy of the findings of fact and conclusions of law on your hearing held April 29, 2005. Your hearing request was based on the Department of Health and Human Resources' action to terminate your MR/DD Waiver Services.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

Eligibility and benefit levels for the Medicaid Home and Community Based MR/DD Waiver Program are determined based on current regulations. One of these regulations states, in part:

The evaluations must demonstrate that an individual has a diagnosis of **mental retardation** and/or a **related condition** which constitute a severe chronic disability which is:

- 1. Attributable to a mental or physical disability or a combination of both;
- 2. Manifested before a person reaches twenty-two (22) years of age;
- 3. Likely to continue indefinitely; and
- 4. **Substantially** limits functioning in **three or more** of the following areas of major life activities:
  - a. Self-Care
  - b. Learning (functional academics)
  - c. Mobility
  - d. Capacity for Independent Living (home living, social skills, health, and safety, community use, leisure)
  - e. Receptive and/or Expressive Language
  - f. Self-Direction
  - g. Economic Self-Sufficiency (Employment)

(WVDHHR Title XIX MR/DD Home and Community Based Waiver Program Operations Manual, Chapter 1 Section I. C).

The information submitted at the hearing revealed: MR/DD Waiver Program. It is the decision of the State He Department to terminate services.	
	Sincerely,
	Ray B. Woods, Jr., M.L.S.
	State Hearing Officer
	Member, State Board of Review
cc: State Board of Review	
Susan Hall, M. A., Bureau for Behavioral Health a	and Health Facilities
Behavioral Health Advocate	e – Legal of West Virginia, Inc.

# WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN RESOURCES SUMMARY AND DECISION OF THE STATE HEARING OFFICER

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This is a report of the	State Hearing Officer	r resulting from a fa	air hearing conclud	led on June 17,
2005 for		•	_	

This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in the Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources. The fair hearing was originally scheduled for April 21, 2004 on a timely appeal filed October 22, 2003. It was rescheduled at the request of the Claimant for December 2, 2004. Legal Aid of West Virginia did not appear at the hearing and requested to reschedule. The hearing finally convened on April 29, 2005.

It should be noted here that the Claimant is not receiving benefits under the MR/DD Medicaid Waiver Program and, a pre-hearing conference was not held between the parties.

All persons giving testimony were placed under oath.

#### II. PROGRAM PURPOSE

The program entitled the Home and Community Based MR/DD Waiver Program is set up cooperatively between the Federal and State Government and administered by the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources.

Under Section 2176 of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981, states were allowed to request waiver from the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) so that they could use Medicaid (Title XIX) funds for home and community based services. The program's target population is individuals with Mental Retardation or related conditions (ICF/MR) who would otherwise be placed in an intermediate care facility (if not for the waiver services).

#### III. PARTICIPANTS

, Mother of Claimant	
, Claimant	
Behaviora	al Health Advocate – Legal Aid of West Virginia, Inc.
Service Coordina	ator – FMRS
Counties) Health Systems	
M. A., Programs Op	erations Coordinator – Office of Behavioral Health
(Provided testimony by conference ca	

Mr. Richard Workman, M. A., Psychologist - Bureau of Medical Services (Provided testimony by conference call)

Presiding at the hearing was Ray B. Woods, Jr., M.L.S., State Hearing Officer and a member of the State Board of Review.

### IV. QUESTION(S) TO BE DECIDED

Does Ms. \_\_\_\_\_ meet the medical eligibility for the Medicaid Home and Community Based MR/DD Waiver Program?

#### V. APPLICABLE POLICY

The Code of Federal Regulations § 435.1009 *Institution for the mentally retarded or persons* and; WVDHHR Title XIX MR/DD Home and Community Based Waiver Program Operations Manual, Chapter 1 Section I. C. *LEVEL OF CARE CRITERIA FOR MEDICAL ELIGIBILITY*.

#### VI. LISTING OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ADMITTED

#### **DEPARTMENT'S EXHIBITS:**

- D-1 Psychological Evaluation dated 08/14/03
- D-2 Psychological Evaluation dated 08/25/04
- D-3 Psychological Evaluation dated 02/21/05
- D-4 Annual Medical Evaluation dated 01/28/04
- D-5 Annual Medical Evaluation dated 03/17/03
- D-6 Social History dated 10/07/04
- D-7 Notice of Denial dated 10/02/03
- D-8 Scheduling Notice dated 01/31/05
- D-9 Scheduling Notice dated 09/28/04
- D-10 Scheduling Notice dated 01/15/04
- D-11 Request for Hearing dated 10/21/03
- D-12 GroupWise Messages re: Scheduling 04/07/04 11/23/04

#### **CLAIMANT'S EXHIBITS:**

- C-1 Psychological Evaluations
- C-2 Letter from FMRS to State Hearing Officer dated 04/13/04 re: Request for Continuance
- C-3 Letter from Legal of WV dated 12/02/04 re: Missing scheduled hearing

### VII. FINDINGS OF FACT

testified that all individuals receiving MR/DD Waiver services must be certified on an annual basis. This begins with the DD-2A (Medical Evaluation) and whether the physician

has indicated a need for ICF/MR level of care. The next step would be a Psychological Evaluation that supports the eligibility criteria as well as, the Licensed Psychologists recommendation that the individual requires an ICF/MR level of care

A Psychological Report was received from FMRS Health Systems, Inc. on September 11, 2003. Mrs read the following statement for the record, "Please find attached the most recent DD-3 for Our psychologist had difficulty making a recommendation for the ICF/MR level of care for Your office has already received her most recent DD-2A. We are requesting that your office please review and provide clarification. Thank you for your clarification." The Psychological Report and Medical Evaluation were forwarded to the Department's Psychology Consultant.
On October 2, 2003, The Bureau for Medical Services sent a termination of services letter to Ms It stated in part, "Documentation submitted for review does not indicate substantial deficits in three or more life areas nor the need for an ICF/MR level of care. An ICF/MR level of care is not recommended by the evaluating psychologist. Termination date for eligibility will be effective 3-03." Waiver services have been continued through the fair hearing process. Mrs. then reviewed the Level of Care Criteria for Medical Eligibility.
Mr. Richard Workman, reviewed Ms's application packet and determined she did not meet the eligibility requirements.
is under the age of twenty-two (22) and does not need an institutional ICF/MR level of care. In addition she does not demonstrate substantial deficits or have a recommendation from the psychologist who did the evaluation does have an eligible related condition of Spina Bifida.
The August 14, 2003 Psychological Evaluation completed by  M. A. and,  M. S., Supervised Psychologist was reviewed by Mr. Workman in the following manner:
Psychomotor Behaviors – is able to propel her wheelchair with ease in all setting is able to manipulate buttons, snaps, zippers, and ties with ease and independently. Mr. Workman stated meets the definition of Mobility;
Self-Help Behaviors – is able to feed herself independently as well as drink from a cup without considerable spillage. She is able to dress herself with relative ease as well as choose he own clothing based upon weather conditions is predominately independent in her personal hygiene. She is currently working on budgeting, comparative shopping, and check writing along with maintaining a checkbook is aware of common safety issues such as street and stranger safety. Mr. Workman stated that these are well developed skills.
Language – is able to verbalize her needs and wants with ease. She is able to read and write on a twelfth grade level is in regular education classes with the exception of two classes is scheduled to graduate with a regular diploma this spring and plans to attend

college the fall of 2004. According to Mr. Workman, these are incompatible for someone needing an institutional level of care.
Mental Status — was oriented to time place and person and situation was able to recall three subjects after five minutes as well as complete serial threes and sevens. Mr. Workman stated that, it would be difficult for someone in an ICF/MR level of care to perform these tasks.
Other Behaviors — enjoys attending her high school basketball games which she assists in keeping scores and data logs. She also enjoys talking to her friends and going shopping is hoping that in the near future that she is able to obtain her driver's license and attend college in the fall of 2004. According to Mr. Workman this indicates self direction.
The Part One Domain Scores were obtained by utilizing non-mental retardation norms. The Instrument used was the AAMR Adaptive Behavior Scale – School: Second Edition (ABS-S:II). According to Mr. Workman, the scores would generally be considered for individuals up to the age of eighteen (18) years of age was almost seventeen (17) years of age at the time of the evaluation. Mr. Workman stated that standard scores of 1 and below would be considered eligible when compared to others without mental retardation was not considered eligible based upon the following standard scores: Independent Functioning (15); Physical Development (5) Mobility Issues come into play; Economic Activity ((6); Language Development ((9); Self-Direction (5); Responsibility (9) and; Socialization (10). Mr. Workman believes has the ability to be employed.
Psychologist Prognosis – is not expected to require an ICF/MR level of service in order to be maintained in the community.
Psychologist Placement Recommendations – does not meet the criteria C-4 in chapter 1 as listed in the Title XIX Home and Community Based Waiver manual revised June 1, 2001 by having three or more deficits in major life areas.
Mr. Workman reviewed the Psychological Evaluation completed on February 21, 2005 by M. A. Licensed Psychologist and, Mr. Workman reviewed the Psychological Evaluation completed on February 21, 2005 by M. A. Licensed Psychologist and, Psychologist in the following manner:
Prior Psychological Testing was conducted on 08/25/04. The examiner evaluated utilizing the Slosson Intelligence Test-Revision Three (SIT-R3) obtained a Total Standard Score of 76. (It should be noted that the Results on the 08/25/04 Evaluation were not reported correctly on the 02/21/05 Evaluation. According to the 08/25/04 Evaluation, obtained a basal Score of 76 and a Total Standard Score (TSS) of 67). The Diagnosis rendered was Borderline Slow Learner.
The Current Behaviors Section of the 02/21/05 Evaluation were characterized by Mr. Workman

The Current Behaviors Section of the 02/21/05 Evaluation were characterized by Mr. Workman as being a little less positive. As an example, the Scores reported on the Part One Domain were lower and possibly incorrect.

The Psychologists Placement Recommendations state, " does not and has not to this examiners knowledge ever received a diagnosis of mental retardation; therefore recommending a level of habilitative service equivalent to that found at the ICF/MR level provided through the Title XIX Home and Community Based Waiver program is extremely difficult due to her not meeting the criteria A-1 for both a diagnosis of mental retardation and/or related condition and an ICF/MR level of care as listed in the manual."
The physician completing the Annual Medical Evaluations (DD-2a) on February 3, 2004 and March 20, 2003, respectively, recommended that requires an ICF/MR level of care.
Msstated that has trouble with some of the Self-Help areas needs assistance with bathing, she is unable to wash dishes, take are of her checkbook also needs assistance with balancing when toileting.
received special education while attending school. According to, assistance was needed with Social Studies and Language.
Msstated that receive a regular education diploma but, could not remember what percentage of the time was spent in special education classes.
Ms. provided clarification regarding the ABS Scores on the two different evaluations. The 2004 ABS was done with the psychologist and's responses to the questions. The ABS Scores obtained in 2005 were obtained at the client's home with the responses provided by the mother perceptions of what could do.
The medical documentation does not support continued services for under the Title XIX MR/DD Home and Community Based Waiver Program.
VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

WVDHHR Title XIX MR/DD Home and Community Based Waiver Program Operations Manual, Chapter 1 Section I. C states:

#### I. LEVEL OF CARE CRITERIA FOR MEDICAL ELIGIBILITY

In order to be eligible for the Title XIX MR/DD Home & Community-Based Waiver A. Program an individual must have both a diagnosis of mental retardation and/or a related condition(s), and require the level of care and services provided in an Intermediate Care Facility for individuals with Mental Retardation and/or related conditions (ICF/MR Facility).

#### Definition

An Intermediate Care Facility provides services in an institutional setting for persons with mental retardation or related conditions. The primary purpose of the institution is to provide health and rehabilitative services. The institution provides services to individuals who are in need of and who are receiving active treatment.

- B. The following list includes some examples of related conditions. This list does not represent all related conditions.
- 1. Autism or Pervasive Developmental Disability, NOS
- 2. Spina Bifida
- 3. Cerebral Palsy
- 4. Tuberous Sclerosis
- 5. Traumatic Brain Injury and/or Spinal Cord Injuries (occurring during the developmental period)
- C. The evaluations must demonstrate that an individual has a diagnosis of mental retardation and/or a related condition which constitute a severe chronic disability which is:
- 1 Attributable to a mental or physical disability or a combination of both;
- 2 Manifested before a person reaches twenty-two (22) years of age;
- 3 Likely to continue indefinitely; and
- 4. Substantially limits functioning in three or more of the following areas of major life activities:
  - a. Self-Care
  - b. Learning (functional academics)
  - c. Mobility
  - d. Capacity for Independent Living (home living, social skills, health, and safety, community use, leisure)
  - e. Receptive and/or Expressive Language
  - f. Self-Direction
  - g. Economic Self-Sufficiency (Employment)

Level of care determinations are made by the Office of Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) and the Bureau for Medical Services (BMS) based on the medical, psychological and social evaluations (DD-2A, DD-3, and DD-4).

Evaluations must demonstrate the need for an ICF/MR level of care and services. This is demonstrated by the individual's need for intensive instruction, services, safety, assistance and supervision to learn new skills and increase independence in activities of daily living. The level of care and services needed must be the same level which is provided in an ICF/MR facility.

#### IX DECISION

It is the decision of this State Hearing Officer that \_\_\_\_\_does not meet the eligibility criteria for the MR/DD Waiver Program. The Department's action to terminate the MR/DD Waiver services was proper and correct.

## X. RIGHT OF APPEAL

See Attachment.

## XI. ATTACHMENTS

The Claimant's Recourse to Hearing Decision.

Form IG-BR-29.