



State of West Virginia
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES
Office of Inspector General
Board of Review
4190 Washington Street, West
Charleston, WV 25313

Joe Manchin III
Governor

Patsy A. Hardy, FACHE, MSN, MBA
Cabinet Secretary

May 27, 2010

Dear -----:

Attached is a copy of the findings of fact and conclusions of law on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Administrative Disqualification Hearing held May 20, 2010 for the purpose of determining whether or not you committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV).

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

Eligibility for SNAP is based on current policy and regulations. Some of these regulations state as follows: Intentional Program Violations shall consist of having intentionally: (1) made a false or misleading statement or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts or (2) committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any State statute relating to the use presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt or possession of Food Stamp coupons. Individuals found to have committed an act of Intentional Program Violation will be ineligible for a specified time determined by the number of previous Intentional Program Violation disqualifications. (West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §20.2 and Code of Federal Regulations - 7 CFR §273.16).

The information submitted at the hearing showed that you intentionally provided false information about your household's composition in order to receive SNAP for which you were not entitled.

It is the decision of the State Hearing Officer to **uphold** the Agency's proposal to apply a one (1) year SNAP disqualification penalty against you based on an Intentional Program Violation. Your penalty begins July 1, 2010.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Henson
State Hearing Officer
Member, State Board of Review

cc: Erika H. Young, Chairman, Board of Review/Natasha Jemerison, Kanawha DHHR

**WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN RESOURCES
BOARD OF REVIEW**

-----,

Defendant

v.

Action Number: 10-BOR-1149

**West Virginia Department of
Health and Human Resources,**

Movant

DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER

I. INTRODUCTION:

This is a report of the State Hearing Officer resulting from an Administrative Disqualification Hearing for ----- . This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in the Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources. This hearing was convened on May 20, 2010.

II. PROGRAM PURPOSE:

The purpose of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is to provide an effective means of utilizing the nation's abundance of food "to safeguard the health and well-being of the nation's population and raise levels of nutrition among low-income households.". This is accomplished through the issuance of benefits to households who meet the eligibility criteria established by the Food and Nutrition Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

III. PARTICIPANTS:

Natasha Jemerison, State Repayment Investigator, Department Representative

Presiding at the Hearing was Cheryl Henson, State Hearing Officer and a member of the State Board of Review.

IV. QUESTION TO BE DECIDED:

The question to be decided is whether the Defendant committed an intentional program violation and should be disqualified for one year from participation in SNAP.

V. APPLICABLE POLICY:

7 CFR § 273.16 USDA Code of Federal Regulations
Common Chapters Manual Chapter 700, Appendix A
West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §1.2, 9.1.A.2.h and 20.2

VI. LISTING OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ADMITTED:

Department's Exhibits:

- D-1 Benefit Recovery Referral Screen from RAPIDS dated May 14, 2010
- D-2 WV Income Maintenance Manual §1.2.E
- D-3 Food Stamp Claim Determination forms and various accompanying documents
- D-4 Code of Federal Regulations §7 CFR 273.16
- D-5 Combined Application Form (CAF) dated June 16, 2009
- D-6 Rights and Responsibilities forms dated June 16, 2009
- D-7 School Clothing Allowance (SCA) application dated July 21, 2009
- D-8 Narrative comments from OSCAR computer system and school verifications
- D-9 WV Income Maintenance Manual Section 2.2
- D-10 WV Income Maintenance Manual Section 20.6
- D-11 Notification letters dated March 1, 2010 and WV IMM Policy Section 20.2

Claimant's Exhibits:

None

VII. FINDINGS OF FACT:

- 1) A request for an Administrative Disqualification Hearing (ADH) was received by the Board of Review from the Department of Health and Human Resources (Department) on April 6, 2010. The Department contends that the Defendant has committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) and made a fraudulent statement or misrepresentation regarding her household composition in order to receive SNAP (formerly known as the Food Stamp Program), and is recommending that the Defendant be disqualified from participation in SNAP for a period of one (1) year.

- 2) Notification of the May 20, 2010 hearing was mailed to the Defendant on or about April 7, 2010 via first class mail delivery, as the Defendant has a validated address with the Department and is actively receiving benefits and correspondence from the Department at that address.
- 3) The hearing was convened as scheduled at 11:00 a.m., and as of 11:31 a.m. the Defendant failed to appear or notify the Board of Review of any conflict preventing her from appearing. As set forth in the Code of Federal Regulations found at §7 CFR 273.16 (e) (4), and State Policy (West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 740.20), the hearing was conducted without the Defendant in attendance.
- 4) On or about March 1, 2010 the Department sent the Defendant a Notification of Intent to Disqualify (D-11) form, indicating that the Department had reason to believe she intentionally violated a food stamp rule. The form also included the following:

Based on the evidence developed through our investigation, the agency believes that ----- intentionally violated the food stamp program by: adding [REDACTED] to her case when he was out of the home. The evidence to prove this allegation consists of applications, Child Support narrative, school attendance verification.

- 5) The Department presented evidence to show that the Defendant completed an application (D-5) for SNAP and Medicaid on June 16, 2009 at which time she reported that -----, her son, lived in her household. She also reported that he attended [REDACTED] Middle School. She signed the application indicating all reported information was truthful, complete and accurate. She also signed the Rights and Responsibilities form on that date (D-6) indicating same.
- 6) Additionally, the Defendant completed an application (D-7) for School Clothing Allowance (SCA) on July 21, 2009 at which time she again reported that her son, -----, lived in her household and attended [REDACTED] Middle School. She signed the application indicating that the information provided was true and correct to the best of her knowledge.
- 7) The Department presented evidence (D-8) which shows that on January 12, 2010 the Child Support Unit received documentation showing that custody of ----- was awarded to the child's grandfather, [REDACTED]. The Defendant filed an objection with the court on this ruling. The evidence also shows that on March 9, 2010 the court awarded child support to be payable to the child's grandfather and determined that the child lives with him.
- 8) Additionally, the evidence (D-8), in the form of student transcripts and attendance verification sheets from the [REDACTED] County Department of Education, shows that the child was never enrolled at [REDACTED] Middle School as reported by the Defendant. The evidence shows that he has attended [REDACTED] Elementary School, [REDACTED] Middle School, and [REDACTED] High School. The evidence also shows his residential address is [REDACTED] West Virginia [REDACTED] which matches the grandfather's address. The grandfather is also listed on these records as the responsible party for the child.
- 9) West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §1.2 (E) states that it is the client's responsibility to provide information about his circumstances so the worker is able to make a correct decision about his eligibility.

- 10) West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual § 20.2 (C) (2) states in pertinent part:

IPV's include making false or misleading statement, misrepresentations, concealing or withholding information, and committing any act that violates the Food Stamp Act of 1977, Food Stamp regulations, or any State statute related to the use, presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt, or possession of Food Stamps.

The individual(s) who is found to have committed an IPV is ineligible to participate in the program for a specified time, depending on the number of offenses committed.

Once an IPV is established, a disqualification penalty is imposed on the AG member(s) who committed the IPV.

- 11) Common Chapters Manual 700, Appendix A, Section B, provides that an Intentional Program Violation shall consist of having intentionally (1) made a false or misleading statement, or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts, or (2) Committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any State statute relating to the use, presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt or possession of food stamp benefits.
- 12) Common Chapters Manual 700, Appendix A, Section G, states that the State Hearing Officer shall base the determination of Intentional Program Violation on clear and convincing evidence which demonstrates that the household member(s) committed, and intended to commit, an Intentional Program Violation as defined in Section B of this Appendix.
- 13) The Defendant signed the Rights and Responsibilities form (D-6) thereby acknowledging the following pertinent responsibilities:

4) I understand if I am found (by court action or an administrative disqualification hearing) to have committed an act of intentional program violation, I will not receive SNAP benefits as follows: First Offense – one year; Second Offense – two years; Third Offense – permanently.

48) I also understand that if I give incorrect or false information or if I fail to report changes that I am required to report, I may be required to repay any benefits I receive and I may also be prosecuted for fraud. I also understand that any person who obtains or attempts to obtain benefits from DHHR by means of a willfully false statement or misrepresentation or by impersonation or any other fraudulent device can be charged with fraud.

49) I certify that all statements on this form have been read by me or read to me and that I understand them. I certify that all the information I have given is true and correct and I accept these responsibilities.

- 14) West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §9.1.A.2.h states:

Intentional Program Violation (IPV)

Persons who have been found guilty of an IPV are disqualified as follows:

- 1st Offense: 1 year
- 2nd Offense: 2 years
- 3rd Offense: Permanent

VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 1) The policy and regulations that govern the Food Stamp program state that a Food Stamp Program Violation has occurred when an individual intentionally makes a false or misleading statement, or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts relating to the use, presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt or possession of Food Stamp benefits.
- 2) The regulations state there must be clear and convincing evidence that demonstrates the Defendant intentionally committed an Intentional Program Violation.
- 3) The Defendant clearly was aware of her responsibility to report accurate and truthful information and the penalties involved for failure to do so. She signed numerous applications and Rights and Responsibilities forms during the period in question which clearly informed her of this responsibility.
- 4) The evidence is clear in that the Defendant intentionally reported false information about her household composition on numerous occasions in order to receive SNAP. She reported that her son, ----, lived in her household when he did not. The evidence is clear in that he lives with his grandfather and attends school in the area that his grandfather lives. The Department's Child Support Unit's records, as well as school records support this, and the child's grandfather is also listed as the responsible party for the child on school records.
- 5) The evidence is found to show that the Department was correct in its determination that the Defendant has committed an Intentional Program Violation by intentionally reporting false information about her household composition.

IX. DECISION:

The Agency's proposal to apply a one (1) year Food Stamp disqualification penalty is **upheld**. The penalty will begin July 1, 2010.

X. RIGHT OF APPEAL:

See Attachment

XI. ATTACHMENTS:

The Claimant's Recourse to Hearing Decision

Form IG-BR-29

ENTERED this 27th Day of July, 2010.

**Cheryl Henson
State Hearing Officer**