

# State of West Virginia DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES Office of Inspector General Board of Review 2699 Park Avenue, Suite 100 Huntington, WV 25704

Joe Manchin III Governor Martha Yeager Walker Secretary

October 1, 2008

Dear		:

Attached is a copy of the findings of fact and conclusions of law on the Food Stamp Administrative Disqualification Hearing held April 22, 2008 for the purpose of determining whether an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) was committed by you.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

Eligibility for the Food Stamp Program is based on current policy and regulations. Some of these regulations state as follows: Intentional Program Violations shall consist of having intentionally: (1) made a false or misleading statement or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts or (2) committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any State statute relating to the use presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt or possession of Food Stamp coupons. Individuals found to have committed an act of Intentional Program Violation will be ineligible for a specified time determined by the number of previous Intentional Program Violation disqualifications. (West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual ' 20.2 and Code of Federal Regulations 7 CFR ' 273.16)

Information submitted at the hearing reveals that you intentionally provided false and misleading information about your household income in order to receive Food Stamp benefits for which you were not entitled.

It is the decision of the State Hearing Officer that an Intentional Program Violation was committed by you and a disqualification penalty of one (1) year will be applied. Your disqualification from the Food Stamp program will begin effective November 1, 2008.

Sincerely,

Todd Thornton State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

cc: Erika H. Young, Chairman, Board of Review Karen Nelson, Repayment Investigator

# WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

<del>,</del>	
Defendant,	
v.	Action Number: 08-BOR-844
West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources,	

DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER

### I. INTRODUCTION:

Respondent.

This is a report of the State Hearing Officer resulting from a fair hearing concluded on October 1, 2008 for \_\_\_\_\_\_. This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in the Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources. This fair hearing was convened on April 22, 2008.

### II. PROGRAM PURPOSE:

The Program entitled Food Stamps is set up cooperatively between the Federal and State governments and administered by the West Virginia Department of Health & Human Resources.

The purpose of the Food Stamp Program is to provide an effective means of utilizing the nation's abundance of food "to safeguard the health and well-being of the nation's population and raise levels of nutrition among low-income households." This is accomplished through the issuance of EBT benefits to households who meet the eligibility criteria established by the Food and Nutrition Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

#### III. PARTICIPANTS:

Karen Nelson, Repayment Investigator

Presiding at the Hearing was Todd Thornton, State Hearing Officer and a member of the State Board of Review.

## IV. QUESTIONS TO BE DECIDED:

The questions to be decided are whether the Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) and should be disqualified for a specified period from participation in the Food Stamp Program.

### V. APPLICABLE POLICY:

7 CFR §273.16 USDA Code of Federal Regulations Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 1.2 West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 20.2

### VI. LISTING OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ADMITTED:

# **Department's Exhibits:**

_	
D-1	Food Stamp Claim Determination (ES-FS-5) for
D-2	Combined Application and Review Form (CAF) dated April 10, 2007
D-3	Case Comments dated April 10,2007
D-4	Employer Statement dated July 6, 2007
D-5	Earnings History and Pay Analysis Report from GC Services for
D-6	Rights and Responsibilities Form (DFA-RR-1) dated April 10, 2007
D-7	West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 1.2; Chapter 2.2

#### VII. FINDINGS OF FACT:

D-8

The Department contends that there has been an overissuance in Food Stamps (Exhibit D-1) in the Defendant's case, and this Administrative Disqualification Hearing was requested to determine if the alleged actions of the Defendant constitute an Intentional Program Violation.

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 9.1, A, 2, h; Chapter 20.2

- The hearing convened as scheduled at 2:15 p.m., and as of 2:30 p.m., the Defendant failed to appear. As set forth in regulations [7 CFR 273.16(e)(4)], and State Policy (West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources Common Chapters Manual, 740.20), the hearing was conducted without the Defendant in attendance.
- 3) The Code of Federal Regulations, 7 CFR §273.16(c), defines an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) as:
  - (c) Definition of intentional Program violation. Intentional Program violations shall consist of having intentionally:
  - (1) made a false or misleading statement, or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts; or
  - (2) committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp

Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any State statute for the purpose of using, presenting, transferring, acquiring, receiving, possessing or trafficking of coupons, authorization cards or reusable documents used as part of an automated benefit delivery system (access device).

- The Department presented wage verification from (Exhibit D-4). This document showed that the Defendant was employed by with one pay period listed in September 2006 and ongoing pay periods listed from February 2007 through June 2007. Testimony from the Department confirmed that she was receiving Food Stamps during that period.
- The Department presented a Combined Application and Review Form (CAF) from an April 10, 2007 review with the Defendant (Exhibits D-2). The application was signed by the Defendant, and listed no earned income in the household. At the time this review was completed, the Defendant had been employed with GC Services on a continuous basis for nearly two (2) months (Exhibit D-5).
- 6) The Department presented a Screen Print of Case Comments (Exhibit D-3) entered by the Department worker on the April 10, 2007 review date. These comments state, in pertinent part:

\_\_\_\_\_\_ IN OFFICE TO COMPLETE FS REVIEW. HH OF ONE. REPORTS NO BANK ACCTS [sic] NOR VEHICLES. DMV CHECKED AND FOUND NOTHING CURRENT. STATES SHE HAS NO INCOME AT THIS TIME. (emphasis added)

7) The Department presented the Rights and Responsibilities Form (DFA-RR-1) completed and signed by the Defendant at her April 10, 2007 review. In addition to explaining reporting requirements for the Food Stamp program and stating the penalties for committing an IPV, this document concludes with statement #45:

**I certify** that all statements on this form have been read by me or read to me and that I understand them. **I certify** that all information I have given is true and correct and I accept these responsibilities.

- 8) The West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 9.1, A, 2, h, states:
  - h. Intentional Program Violation (IPV)

Persons who have been found guilty of an IPV are disqualified as follows:

- 1st offense: 1 year

- 2nd offense: 2 years

- 3rd offense: Permanent

#### VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- The Department clearly showed that the Defendant withheld earnings information. The wage verification from the employer shows earnings for the Defendant at the same time that no earned income was reported in her April 10, 2007 review. Case Comments were used to show that this question was directly asked of the Defendant with a negative response.
- The Department also clearly established the intent of the Defendant to make this false statement for the purpose of receiving Food Stamps to which she would not have otherwise been entitled. The Department showed that the Defendant signed the April 10, 2007 review form and the Rights and Responsibilities form certifying the information she provided as true and correct. However, no earned income was reported on this review form, and ongoing earnings for the Defendant were verified at the time of that review. The Department determined that this action caused an overissuance in the Defendant's Food Stamp benefits. With clear and convincing evidence, the Department has shown an intentional withholding of information on the part of the Defendant to receive Food Stamps that she was not entitled to receive, and was correct in its determination that an IPV was committed by the Defendant.

### IX. DECISION:

Intentionally making a false or misleading statement or misrepresenting facts to secure food Stamp benefits constitutes a clear violation of the regulations. Based on the evidence presented, I find the violation intentional.

The Agency's proposal to apply a Food Stamp disqualification is **upheld**. The Defendant will be disqualified from the Food Stamp program for a twelve (12) month period to begin effective November 1, 2008.

# X. RIGHT OF APPEAL:

See Attachment

#### XI. ATTACHMENTS:

The Defendant's Recourse to Hearing Decision

Form IG-BR-29

**ENTERED** this Day of October, 2008.

**Todd Thornton State Hearing Officer**