

# State of West Virginia DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES Office of Inspector General Board of Review 2699 Park Avenue, Suite 100 Huntington, WV 25704

Joe Manchin III Governor Martha Yeager Walker Secretary

October 16, 2008

Dear	 	 	_:	

Attached is a copy of the findings of fact and conclusions of law on the Food Stamp Administrative Disqualification Hearing held July 2, 2008 for the purpose of determining whether an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) was committed by you.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

Eligibility for the Food Stamp Program is based on current policy and regulations. Some of these regulations state as follows: Intentional Program Violations shall consist of having intentionally: (1) made a false or misleading statement or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts or (2) committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any State statute relating to the use presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt or possession of Food Stamp coupons. Individuals found to have committed an act of Intentional Program Violation will be ineligible for a specified time determined by the number of previous Intentional Program Violation disqualifications. (West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual ' 20.2 and Code of Federal Regulations 7 CFR ' 273.16)

Information submitted at the hearing reveals that you intentionally provided false and misleading information about your household income in order to receive Food Stamp benefits for which you were not entitled.

It is the decision of the State Hearing Officer that an Intentional Program Violation was committed by you and a disqualification penalty of one (1) year will be applied. Your disqualification from the Food Stamp program will begin effective December 1, 2008.

Sincerely,

Todd Thornton State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

cc: Erika H. Young, Chairman, Board of Review Karen Nelson, Repayment Investigator

# WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

	Defendant,			
v.	Action Number: 08-BOR-1290			
West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources,				
	Respondent.			
	DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER			
I.	INTRODUCTION:			
	This is a report of the State Hearing Officer resulting from a fair hearing concluded on October 16, 2008 for This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in the Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources. This fair hearing was convened on July 2, 2008.			

## II. PROGRAM PURPOSE:

The Program entitled Food Stamps is set up cooperatively between the Federal and State governments and administered by the West Virginia Department of Health & Human Resources.

The purpose of the Food Stamp Program is to provide an effective means of utilizing the nation's abundance of food "to safeguard the health and well-being of the nation's population and raise levels of nutrition among low-income households." This is accomplished through the issuance of EBT benefits to households who meet the eligibility criteria established by the Food and Nutrition Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

# III. PARTICIPANTS:

Karen Nelson, Repayment Investigator

Presiding at the Hearing was Todd Thornton, State Hearing Officer and a member of the State Board of Review.

#### IV. **QUESTIONS TO BE DECIDED:**

The questions to be decided are whether the Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) and should be disqualified for a specified period from participation in the Food Stamp Program.

#### V. **APPLICABLE POLICY:**

7 CFR §273.16 USDA Code of Federal Regulations Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 1.2 West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 20.2

#### VI. LISTING OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ADMITTED:

Department'	's Exhibits:
-------------	--------------

Depar	thient's Exhibits.
D-1	Food Stamp Claim Determination (ES-FS-5) for
D-2	Combined Application and Review Form (CAF) dated January 12, 2007
D-3	Combined Application and Review Form (CAF) dated August 1, 2007
D-4	Case Comments dated January 12,2007 through September 10, 2007
D-5	Income Verification for
D-6	Food Stamp approval notice dated February 23, 2007
D-7	Rights and Responsibilities Form (DFA-RR-1) dated January 12, 2007
D-8	Rights and Responsibilities Form (DFA-RR-1) dated August 1, 2007
D-9	West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 1.2; Chapter 2.2

D-10 West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 9.1; Chapter 20.2

#### VII. FINDINGS OF FACT:

- 1) The Department contends that there has been an overissuance in Food Stamps (Exhibit D-1) in the Defendant's case, and this Administrative Disqualification Hearing was requested to determine if the alleged actions of the Defendant constitute an Intentional Program Violation.
- 2) The hearing convened as scheduled at 11:00 a.m., and as of 11:15 a.m., the Defendant failed to appear. As set forth in regulations [7 CFR 273.16(e)(4)], and State Policy (West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources Common Chapters Manual, 740.20), the hearing was conducted without the Defendant in attendance.
- 3) The Code of Federal Regulations, 7 CFR §273.16(c), defines an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) as:

- (c) Definition of intentional Program violation. Intentional Program violations shall consist of having intentionally:
- (1) made a false or misleading statement, or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts; or
- (2) committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any State statute for the purpose of using, presenting, transferring, acquiring, receiving, possessing or trafficking of coupons, authorization cards or reusable documents used as part of an automated benefit delivery system (access device).
- The Department presented wage verification from (Exhibit D-5). This document showed that the Defendant was employed by with continuous pay periods from October 2006 through October 2007. Testimony from the Department confirmed that she was receiving Food Stamps during that period, and that she additionally applied for emergency LIEAP and was screened for WV Works during that period without reporting her earnings.
- The Department presented Combined Application and Review Forms (CAF) from January 12, 2007 and August 1, 2007 reviews (Exhibits D-2 and D-3). These applications were signed by the Defendant and did not include her earnings from employment with
- The Department presented screen prints of Case Comments (Exhibit D-3) entered by the Department worker from January 12, 2007 through September 10, 2007. The comments from February 1, 2007 when the Defendant applied for emergency LIEAP state, in pertinent part:

CLIENT ALSO STATED THAT SHE HAD QUIT HER JOB AT ON 12/23/06 AND HAD HER LAST PS [sic].

The Comments entered by the Department worker during the WV Works screening on September 10, 2007, with the Defendant state, in pertinent part:

SHE REPORT [sic] NEITHER OF THEM HAVE INCOME [sic] NOT EVEN SELF EMPLOYMENT.

The Defendant's earned income was ongoing during both of these dates, in addition to the Food Stamp review dates.

7) The Department presented the Rights and Responsibilities Forms (Exhibits D-7 and D-8) completed and signed by the Defendant at her January 12, 2007 and August 1, 2007 reviews. In addition to explaining reporting requirements for the Food Stamp program and stating the penalties for committing an IPV, this document concludes with the statement:

**I certify** that all statements on this form have been read by me or read to me and that I understand them. **I certify** that all information I have given is true and correct and I accept these responsibilities.

8) The West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 9.1, A, 2, h, states:

h. Intentional Program Violation (IPV)

Persons who have been found guilty of an IPV are disqualified as follows:

- 1st offense: 1 year

- 2nd offense: 2 years

- 3rd offense: Permanent

### VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The Department clearly showed that the Defendant withheld earnings information. The wage verification from the employer shows earnings for the Defendant at the same time that no earned income was reported by her in two separate Food Stamp reviews, an emergency LIEAP application, and a WV Works screening. Case Comments were used to show that this question was directly asked of the Defendant with negative responses.

The Department also clearly established the intent of the Defendant to make these false statements for the purpose of receiving Food Stamps to which she would not have otherwise been entitled. The Department showed that the Defendant signed the Combined Application and Review Forms and the Rights and Responsibilities forms certifying the information she provided as true and correct. However, no earned income was reported on either review form, and earnings for the Defendant were later verified to be ongoing at the time of both reviews. The Department determined that these actions caused an overissuance in the Defendant's Food Stamp benefits. With clear and convincing evidence, the Department has shown an intentional withholding of information on the part of the Defendant to receive Food Stamps that she was not entitled to receive, and was correct in its determination that an IPV was committed by the Defendant.

## IX. DECISION:

Intentionally making a false or misleading statement or misrepresenting facts to secure food Stamp benefits constitutes a clear violation of the regulations. Based on the evidence presented, I find the violation intentional.

х.	RIGHT OF APPEAL:
	See Attachment
XI.	ATTACHMENTS:
	The Defendant's Recourse to Hearing Decision
	Form IG-BR-29
	ENTERED this Day of October, 2008.
	Todd Thornton

**State Hearing Officer** 

The Agency's proposal to apply a Food Stamp disqualification is **upheld**. The Defendant will be disqualified from the Food Stamp program for a twelve (12) month period to begin effective

December 1, 2008.