

## State of West Virginia DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES

## Office of Inspector General **Board of Review** 4190 Washington Street West Charleston, WV 25313

Joe Manchin III Governor

Martha Yeager Walker Secretary

August 9, 2006
<del></del>
Dear Ms:
Attached is a copy of the findings of fact and conclusions of law on your hearing held July 11, 2006. Your

Attached is a copy of the findings of fact and conclusions of law on your hearing held July 11, 2006. Your hearing was based on the Department of Health and Human Resources' proposal that you committed an Intentional Program Violation.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearings Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

Eligibility for the Food Stamps is based on current policy and regulations. Some of these regulations state as follows: According to Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700, Appendix A, Section B, an intentional program violation consists of having intentionally made a false statement, or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts, or committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any statute relating to the use, presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt or possession of food stamp coupons.

The information submitted at your hearing revealed: You intentionally failed to report your return to work in a timely manner. This resulted in an over issuance of Food Stamp Benefits in the amount of \$1,002.00 for the period covering December 2005 through February 2006.

It is the decision of the State Hearings Officer to UPHOLD the PROPOSAL of the Department that you committed an Intentional Program Violation. You will be sanctioned from the Food Stamp Program for a period of twelve (12) months. The sanction will be effective September 2006.

Sincerely,

Ray B. Woods, Jr., M.L.S. State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

cc: State Board of Review

Mrs. Jennifer Butcher, Repayment Investigator

# WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

Defendant,
Action Number: 06-BOR-1512
inia Department of d Human Resources,
Respondent.
DECISION OF THE STATE HEARING OFFICER
INTRODUCTION:
This is a report of the State Hearing Officer resulting from an Administrative Disqualification Hearing concluded on August 9, 2006 for Ms L This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in the Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources. This Administrative Disqualification Hearing was originally scheduled for June 13, 2006 on a timely appeal filed March 30, 2006. The Scheduling Notice, Request for an Administrative Disqualification Hearing, and Waiver of Administrative Disqualification Hearing forms were mailed to Ms via First Class Mail on March 30, 2006. Mrs. Butcher requested to reschedule the hearing due to a scheduled medical leave. The hearing finally convened on July 11, 2006 (Exhibit -7).
The issue in this particular matter involves the defendant, Ms L, tionally failed to report her return to work in a timely manner. This allegedly resulted in an over issuance of Food Stamp Benefits in the amount of \$1,002.00. The Department is seeking a ruling of Intentional Program Violation; Disqualification from the Food Stamp Program for a period of one (1) year; and Repayment of the over issued Food Stamp Benefits.  It should be noted here that, the Defendant was receiving Food Stamp Benefits at the time of the hearing. The Scheduling Notice was not returned, and Ms did not attend the scheduled hearing.

II.

**PROGRAM PURPOSE:** 

The Program entitled Food Stamps is set up cooperatively between the Federal and State governments and administered by the West Virginia Department of Health & Human Resources.

The purpose of the Food Stamp Program is to provide an effective means of utilizing the nation's abundance of food "to safeguard the health and well-being of the nation's population and raise levels of nutrition among low-income households." This is accomplished through the issuance of EBT benefits to households who meet the eligibility criteria established by the Food and Nutrition Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

#### III. PARTICIPANTS:

Jennifer Butcher, Repayment Investigator

Presiding at the Hearing was, Ray B. Woods, Jr., M.L.S., State Hearing Officer and a member of the State Board of Review.

### IV. QUESTIONS TO BE DECIDED:

The question to	o be decided i	s whether it was shown by clear and convincing evidence that the
defendant,	L	, committed an intentional program violation.

#### V. APPLICABLE POLICY:

WV Income Maintenance Manual Section 9.1 (A) (2) (f) and, Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700, Appendix A, Section B

#### VI. LISTING OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ADMITTED:

#### **Department's Exhibits:**

Exhibit -1	Application dated 10/06/05 (Food Stamps; WV WORKS; Medicaid; and SCA
Exhibit -2	Case Comments dated 09/27/05
Exhibit -3	Employment Verification –, dated 12/12/05
Exhibit -4	WV WORKS Application Withdrawal dated 12/29/05
Exhibit -5	Employment Verification –, dated 01/24/06
Exhibit -6	Food Stamp Claim Determination
Exhibit -7	Miscellaneous Hearing Documents

#### **Claimants' Exhibits:**

None

#### VII. FINDINGS OF FACT:

	1)	The Investigation Fraud Unit received a referral from the Income Maintenance Unit that L failed to report all of earnings at applications and reviews. Because of					
this	unrepo	unreported income, an over issuance of Food Stamps in the amount of \$1,002.00 occurred					
	betwe	between the months of December 2005 through February 2006.					
	2)	The West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources requested this hearing					
	for the	e purpose of determining if L committed an Intentional Program					
		ion (IPV). The Code of Federal Regulations Article 273.16c defines an IPV as (1) made a					
		or misleading statement or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts, or (2) committed					
		t that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any state statute					
		relating to the use, presentation, acquisition, receipt, or possession of the Food Stamp EBT card					
	or AT						
	3)	The Application dated October 6, 2005 for Food Stamps; West Virginia Works;					
		aid, and School Clothing Allowance indicates Ms understood her Rights					
and		Responsibilities. Her signature on the Application and Personal Responsibility Contract					
		ignifies an acceptance and understanding of the terms of the application to report all changes					
	within	ten (10) days (Exhibit -1).					
	4)	The Screener's Case Comments on September 27, 2005 indicate Ms was					
in the	office	to report being on medical leave, due to her pregnancy (Exhibit -2).					
	5)						
	Ms	began employment on May 26, 2005 and is currently employed. Ms. on maternity leave when she applied for WV Works in October 2005, and never					
report		returning to work. According to the income verification her last pay before childbirth					
was		mber 23, 2005. The next pay check was received on November 18, 2005. Ms.					
	Берия	returned to work in November 2005 and never reported this information to the					
	tment	•					
	<b>6</b> )	Ms was in the office on January 6, 2006. According to the Case					
Comm	-	Ms signed a withdrawal letter regarding her WV Works Benefits. The					
withdr		form was signed on December 29, 2005, and the Department did not process the request					
in		se it was after the adverse action deadline. As a result, Ms received a check					
111	Januar	ry 2006. Ms submitted a pay stub while in the office (Exhibit -4)					
	<b>7</b> )	Mrs. Butcher requested updated income verification from In Home Care.					
	The in	The information was faxed to the Department on January 24, 2006. It stated Ms.					
was	still employed as of January 13, 2006 (Exhibit -5).						
	8)	A Food Stamp Claim Determination sheet was prepared for the period covering					
	Decen	nber 2005 through February 2006. According to the calculations, Ms					
receiv	ed	\$1,073.00 in Food Stamp Benefits for the period in question, while she was only					
eligibl	e for	\$71.00 (Exhibit -6).					
	9)	According to Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700, Appendix A, Section B, an					
	intenti	onal program violation consists of having intentionally made a false statement, or					
		presented, concealed or withheld facts, or committed any act that constitutes a violation of					
	_	od Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any statute relating to the use,					

presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt or possession of food stamp coupons.

X/111	CONCLUCIONE OF LAW
VIII.	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:
	1) According to Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700, Appendix A, Section B, an intentional program violation consists of having intentionally made a false statement, or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts, or committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any statute relating to the use, presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt or possession of food stamp coupons.
	2) Ms L intentionally failed to report her return to work in a timely manner. This resulted in an over issuance of Food Stamp Benefits in the amount of \$1,002.00 for the period covering December 2005 through February 2006.
IX.	DECISION:
	It is the decision of this State Hearing Officer that Ms L committed an Intentional Program Violation. She will be sanctioned from the Food Stamp Program for a period of 12 months effective September 2006.
х.	RIGHT OF APPEAL:
	See Attachment
XI.	ATTACHMENTS:
	The Claimant's Recourse to Hearing Decision
	Form IG-BR-29
	ENTERED this 9th Day of August 2006.
	Ray B. Woods, Jr., M.L.S. State Hearing Officer

According to policy at WV Income Maintenance Manual Section 9.1 (A) (2) (f) the

disqualification penalty for having committed an Intentional Program Violation is twelve months for the first violation, twenty-four months for the second violation, and permanent

**10**)

disqualification for the third violation.