

State of West Virginia DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES Office of Inspector General Board of Review

Board of Review 4190 Washington Street West Charleston, WV 25313

Joe Manchin III Governor Martha Yeager Walker Secretary

July 26, 2005

Dear Ms	

Attached is a copy of the findings of fact and conclusions of law on your hearing held June 10, 2005. Your hearing request was based on the Department of Health and Human Resources' proposal to close your Aged and Disabled Waiver Case.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearings Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

Eligibility for the Aged and Disabled Waiver services Program is based on current policy and regulations. Some of these regulations state as follows: Applicants for the ADW Program must meet all of the following criteria to be eligible for the program:

- A. Be 18 years of age or older.
- B. Be a permanent resident of West Virginia. The individual may be deinstitutionalized from a NF in any county of the state, or in another state, as long as his permanent residence is in West Virginia.
- C. Be approved as medically eligible for NF Level of Care.
- D. Meet the Medicaid Waiver financial eligibility criteria for the program as determined by the county DHHR office, or the SSA if an active SSI recipient.
- E. Choose to participate in the ADW Program as an alternative to NF care. Even if an individual is medically and financially eligible, a waiver allocation must be available for him/her to participate in the program. (WV Provider Manual Chapter 570 PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY FOR CLIENT).

The information submitted at your hearing revealed: You do not meet the medical eligibility criteria for continued services.

It is the decision of the State Hearings Officer UPHOLD the PROPOSAL of the Department to close your Aged and Disabled Waiver case.

Sincerely,

Ray B. Woods, Jr., M.L.S. State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

cc: Erika H. Young, Chairman, Board of Review

Kay Ikerd, RN – BoSS Oretta Keeney, RN – WVMI RN - CCIL

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

	Claimant,
v.	Action Number:
	ginia Department of nd Human Resources,
	Respondent.
	DECISION OF THE STATE HEARING OFFICER
	I. INTRODUCTION:
	This is a report of the State Hearing Officer resulting from a fair hearing concluded on July 26, 2005 for This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in the Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources. This fair hearing was convened on June 10, 2005 on a timely appeal filed March 18, 2005.
	It should be noted here that the claimant's benefits have been continued pending a hearing decision. A pre-hearing conference was not held between the parties and, Ms did not have legal representation.
I	I. PROGRAM PURPOSE:

The Program entitled Aged and Disabled Waiver Services is set up cooperatively between the Federal and State governments and administered by the West Virginia Department of Health & Human Resources.

Under Section 2176 of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981, states were allowed to request a waiver from the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) so that they could use Medicaid (Title XIX) funds for home and community-based services. The program's target population is individuals who would otherwise be placed in an intermediate or skilled nursing facility (if not for the waiver services). Services offered under the Waiver Program will include: (1) chore, (2) homemaker and (3) case management services. West Virginia has been offering the Waiver Services Program since July, 1982 to those financially eligible individuals who have been determined to need ICF level care but who have chosen the Waiver Program Services as opposed to being institutionalized.

III. PARTICIPANTS:

, Claimant
RN/Case Manager – Coordinating Council for Independent Living
(CCIL)
, Homemaker – Panhandle Support
Kay Ikerd, RN – Bureau of Senior Services (BoSS) *
Michelle Wiley, RN – West Virginia Medical Institute (WVMI)*
* Participated by teleconference

Presiding at the Hearing was, Ray B. Woods, Jr., M.L.S., State Hearing Officer and a member of the State Board of Review.

IV. QUESTIONS TO BE DECIDED:

The question(s) to be decided: Does Ms. ____ meet the eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Services Program?

V. APPLICABLE POLICY:

WV Provider Manual Chapters 570 PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY FOR CLIENT; 570.1 MEDICAL ELIGIBILITY; 570.1.a PURPOSE and; 570.1.b MEDICAL CRITERIA

VI. LISTING OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ADMITTED:

Department's Exhibits:

- D-1 WV Provider Manual Chapters 570 *PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY FOR CLIENT*; 570.1 *MEDICAL ELIGIBILITY*; 570.1.a *PURPOSE* and; 570.1.b *MEDICAL CRITERIA*
- D-2 PAS dated 11/08//04
- D-3 Letter of Potential Denial dated 12/06/04
- D-4 Letter of Termination/Denial dated 12/22/04
- D-5 Scheduling Notice dated 03/22/05
- D-6 Memorandum with Hearing Exhibits dated 02/28/05
- D-7 Request For Hearing dated 12/29/04
- D-8 Medical Necessity Reevaluation Request dated 10/06/04
- D-9 GroupWise Messages re: Scheduling

Claimants' Exhibits:

C-1 NONE

VII. FINDINGS OF FACT:

1) Aged/Disabled Home and Community Based Services Manual § 570 PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY FOR CLIENT:

Applicants for the ADW Program must meet all of the following criteria to be eligible for the program:

- A. Be 18 years of age or older.
- B. Be a permanent resident of West Virginia. The individual may be deinstitutionalized from a NF in any county of the state, or in another state, as long as his permanent residence is in West Virginia.
- C. Be approved as medically eligible for NF Level of Care.
- D. Meet the Medicaid Waiver financial eligibility criteria for the program as determined by the county DHHR office, or the SSA if an active SSI recipient.
- E. Choose to participate in the ADW Program as an alternative to NF care.

Even if an individual is medically and financially eligible, a waiver allocation must be available for him/her to participate in the program.

2) Aged/Disabled Home and Community Based Services Manual § 570.1.a. - Purpose:

The purpose of the medical eligibility review is to ensure the following:

- * New applicants and existing clients are medically eligible based on current and accurate evaluations.
- * Each applicant/client determined to be medically eligible for ADW services receives an appropriate LOC that reflects current/actual medical condition and short and long-term service needs.
- * The medical eligibility determination process is fair, equitable, and consistently applied throughout the State.

3) Aged/Disabled Home and Community Based Services Manual § 570.1.b. - Medical Criteria:

An individual must have five deficits on the PAS to qualify medically for the ADW Program. These deficits are derived from a combination of the following assessment elements on the PAS:

- A. #24: Decubitus Stage 3 or 4
 - #25: In the event of an emergency, the individual is c) mentally unable

or d) physically unable to vacate a building. a) and b) are not considered deficits.

R	#26:	Functional	abilities	of in	dividual	in the	e home
υ.	1120.	i uncuonai	aomics	$\mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{i}}$	uiviuuai	III UIN	, mome.

- Eating----- Level 2 or higher (physical assistance to get nourishment, not preparation)
- Bathing ----- Level 2 or higher (physical assistance or more)
- Grooming -----Level 2 or higher (physical assistance or more)
- Dressing ----- Level 2 or higher (physical assistance or more)
- Continence --- Level 3 or higher (must be incontinent)
- Orientation---- Level 3 or higher (totally disoriented, comatose)
- Transfer-----Level 3 or higher (one person or two person assist in the home)
- Walking ----- Level 3 or higher (one person or two person assist in the home)
- Wheeling ----- Level 3 or higher (must be Level 3 or 4 on walking in the home to use Level 3 or 4 for wheeling in the home Do not count outside the home)
- C. #27: Individual has skilled needs in one or more of these areas (g) suctioning, (h) tracheostomy, (i) ventilator, (k) parenteral fluids, (l) sterile dressings, or (m) irrigations.
- D. #28: The individual is not (c) capable of administering his/her own medications.
- 4) This issue involves the denial of services under the Aged and Disabled Waiver Services Program. The re-evaluation assessment was completed on November 8, 2004. It indicates Ms. _____ does not meet the medical eligibility for continued services.
- 5) Ms. Kay Ikerd, RN, reviewed the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program Eligibility Criteria.
- 6) Ms. Michelle Wiley, RN reviewed Ms. _____'s Pre-Admission Screening (PAS) Assessment dated November 8, 2004, in the following manner:

Ouestion #24

Decubitus----- **Total = 0**;

Ouestion #25

In the event of an emergency, the individual can vacate the building, Physically Unable. Total = 1

Question #26:

d. Grooming -2 e. Cont/Bladder - 2 Total = 0 f. Cont/Bowel - 2 Total = 0 g. Orientation - 2 Total = 0 g. Orientation - 2 Total = 0 j. Walking - 2 Total = 0 j. Wheeling - 1 Total = 0 Jouestion #27 None Total = 0 Ouestion #28 The individual is capable of administering his own medications: With Prompting/Supervision. Total = 0 Total = 0 Ouestion #28 The individual is capable of administering his own medications: With Prompting/Supervision. Total = 0. The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms. on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms. was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms. that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms. completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms. attempted to introduce a note from Ms. 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms. advocated Ms. 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms. 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms. 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms. 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms. 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms. 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring according to Ms. 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring according to Ms. 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring according to Ms. 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring according to Ms. 's need for assistance with Walking and needed a one or two person assistance for Transferring in the home.		c. Dressing - 2	Total = 1				
f. Cont/Bowel - 2 g. Orientation - 2 Total = 0 h. Transferring - 2 Total = 0 i. Walking - 2 J. Wheeling - 1 Total = 0 Total = 0 J. Wheeling - 1 Total = 0 Total = 0 J. Wheeling - 1 Total = 0 Total = 0 Jouestion #27 None Total = 0 Ouestion #28 The individual is capable of administering his own medications: With Prompting/Supervision. Total = 0. 7) Ms had a total of four (4) deficits on the PAS assessed on November 8, 2004. 8) The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Disabled Waiver Progra		d. Grooming -2	Total = 1				
g. Orientation - 2		e. Cont/Bladder - 2	Total = 0				
g. Orientation - 2		f. Cont/Bowel - 2	Total = 0				
h. Transferring - 2 i. Walking - 2 j. Wheeling - 1 Total = 0 j. Wheeling - 1 Total = 0 Total = 0 Question #27 None Total = 0 Question #28 The individual is capable of administering his own medications: With Prompting/Supervision. Total = 0. 7) Ms had a total of four (4) deficits on the PAS assessed on November 8, 2004. 8) The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and needed a waver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a							
i. Walking - 2 j. Wheeling - 1 Total = 0 Total = 3 Question #27 None Total = 0 Question #28 The individual is capable of administering his own medications: With Prompting/Supervision. Total = 0. 7) Ms had a total of four (4) deficits on the PAS assessed on November 8, 2004. 8) The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed.		_					
Juestion #27 None Total = 0 Question #28 The individual is capable of administering his own medications: With Prompting/Supervision. Total = 0. 7) Ms had a total of four (4) deficits on the PAS assessed on November 8, 2004. 8) The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a							
Ouestion #27 None		•		Total - 3			
None Total = 0 Question #28 The individual is capable of administering his own medications: With Prompting/Supervision. Total = 0. 7) Ms had a total of four (4) deficits on the PAS assessed on November 8, 2004. 8) The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		J. Wheeling - 1	Total – v	10tal – 3			
None Total = 0 Question #28 The individual is capable of administering his own medications: With Prompting/Supervision. Total = 0. 7) Ms had a total of four (4) deficits on the PAS assessed on November 8, 2004. 8) The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		Question #27					
 Question #28 The individual is capable of administering his own medications: With Prompting/Supervision. Total = 0. 7) Ms had a total of four (4) deficits on the PAS assessed on November 8, 2004. 8) The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a 		_	Total = 0				
The individual is capable of administering his own medications: With Prompting/Supervision. Total = 0. 7)		Trone	10111 - 0				
The individual is capable of administering his own medications: With Prompting/Supervision. Total = 0. 7)	Question #28						
Prompting/Supervision. Total = 0. 7) Ms had a total of four (4) deficits on the PAS assessed on November 8, 2004. 8) The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		-					
7) Ms had a total of four (4) deficits on the PAS assessed on November 8, 2004. 8) The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		<u> </u>		incurentions. With			
8) The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		Trompung/Supervision. To	11 – 0 •				
8) The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		7) Ms had a tota	l of four (4) deficits or	n the PAS assessed on November 8			
 8) The West Virginia Medical Institute sent a letter of Potential Denial to Ms on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a 			or rour (1) deficits of	in the 1715 assessed on 140 vehicle 6,			
on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		2004.					
on December 6, 2004. The letter stated there were four (4) deficits on the PAS. Ms was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		8) The West Virginia M	Madical Institute sent a	latter of Potential Danial to Ma			
was permitted to submit additional documentation within two weeks of the letter. 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		,					
 9) No additional medical documentation was received by the West Virginia Medical Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a 							
Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		was permitted to sub-	ini additional docume	mation within two weeks of the letter.			
Institute. 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		O N 11'' 1 1' 1 1		' 11 /1 337 / 37' ' 3 / 1' 1			
 10) On December 22, 2004, the WVMI notified Ms that, "Your request for benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a 			ocumentation was rec	erved by the West Virginia Medical			
benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		Institute.					
benefits under the Home and Community Based Aged/Disabled Waiver Program has been terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		10) 0 5 1 22 22	0.4 .1 .1111.11.61	13.5			
terminated/denied. Your PAS indicated deficiencies in 4 areas." 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a							
 11) Ms completed a Request for Hearing on December 29, 2004. 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a 			•	•	n		
 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a 		terminated/denied. Your PA	S indicated deficienci	ies in 4 areas."			
 12) Ms attempted to introduce a note from Ms 's physician dated June 6, 2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms 's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms , the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a 							
2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		11) Ms complete	ed a Request for Heari	ng on December 29, 2004.			
2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to the timeliness of the note and it was not allowed by the State Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a							
Hearing Officer. 13) Ms advocated Ms's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		12) Ms attempte	d to introduce a note f	from Ms's physician dated June	6,		
 13) Ms advocated Ms's need for assistance with Walking and Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a 		2005. Ms. Ikerd objected to	the timeliness of the	note and it was not allowed by the State	;		
Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		Hearing Officer.					
Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a							
Transferring. According to Ms, the regulations do not indicate how the functional abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		13) Ms advocate	d Ms's need for	or assistance with Walking and			
abilities are assessed. VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		Transferring. According to	Ms, the regula	tions do not indicate how the functional	l		
VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: 1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a		-					
1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a							
1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a							
1) Ms does not meet the continued medical eligibility criteria for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a							
Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a	VIII.	CONCLUSIONS OF LAV	V:				
Disabled Waiver Program. There was no evidence submitted that Ms needed a							
		Disabled Waiver Program.	There was no evidence	e submitted that Ms needed a			
		one or two person assistance for Transferring in the home.					

IX.	DECISION :		

It is the decision of this State Hearing Officer to UPHOLD the PROPOSAL of the Department in this particular matter.

X. RIGHT OF APPEAL:

See Attachment

XI. ATTACHMENTS:

The Claimant's Recourse to Hearing Decision

Form IG-BR-29

ENTERED this 26th Day of July, 2005.

Ray B. Woods, Jr., M.L.S. State Hearing Officer