

# State of West Virginia DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES Office of Inspector General Board of Review P.O. Box 2590 Fairmont, WV 26555-2590

Joe Manchin III Governor		
00,02202	February 16, 2	005
	for	
Dear Ms	:	
hearing held F	ched is a copy of the findings of fact and cond February 3, 2005. Your Hearing request was discharge your daughter.	clusions of law on your nursing home discharge based on the proposal of
Virginia and tl		is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of Wes partment of Health and Human Resources. These at all persons are treated alike.
and not discha	harge or transfer the resident from the facility	ities permit each resident to remain in the facility unless one of six specified circumstances exist riduals in the facility is endangered. (42 CFR §
other individu		strate that your daughter endangers the safety of voluntary Discharge fails to meet the notification
It is the discharge you	the decision of the State Hearing Officer to u daughter.	reverse the proposal of
	Since	rely,
	State	nas E. Arnett Hearing Officer ber, State Board of Review

Pc: Chairman, Board of Review

## WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN RESOURCES SUMMARY AND DECISION OF THE STATE HEARING OFFICER

, Resident,		
I. INTRODUCTION		
This is a report of the State Hearing Officer resulting from a fair hearing concluded on February 16, 2005 for This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in the Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources. This fair hearing was convened on February 3, 2005 on a timely appeal filed November 29, 2004.		
It should be noted here that the proposal to discharge the resident has been postponed pending a hearing decision.		
All persons giving testimony were placed under oath.		
II. PROGRAM PURPOSE		
Nursing facilities participating in Medicaid must meet requirements contained in 42 CFR, Part 483, Subpart B. The provisions therein serve as the basis for determining whether a facility meets the requirements for participation in Medicaid.		
III. PARTICIPANTS		
, Resident's mother and MPOA, Resident's friend, observing, Resident's friend, observing		
Presiding at the hearing was Thomas E. Arnett, State Hearing Officer and a member of the State Board of Review.		
IV. QUESTION(S) TO BE DECIDED		
The question to be decided is whether is acting in accordance with applicable regulations in its proposal to involuntarily discharge the resident,		
V APPLICARI F POLICY		

42 Code of Federal Regulations § 483.12

### VI. LISTING OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ADMITTED

Brightwood- 1	d- 1 A chronological summary of episodes the facility has cited to support involuntary discharge.	
1 2a 2b 2c 2d 2e 3 4	PAS-2000 (Eligibility Determination, for completed on 12/29/04  Notice of involuntary discharge dated October 6, 2004.  Correspondence from dated October 30, 2004.  Correspondence from dated November 10, 2004.  Correspondence from dated November 22, 2004.  Department of Health and Human Services, Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services Survey complete on 12/9/04.  Behavior Management Plan for completed on 1/17/05.  Report of Consultation from Dr. M.D., dated 12/10/04.	
VII. FIND	INGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
	As we have discussed many times,	
	otice advises of the right to appeal and provides the name and phone number of the Ombudsman as well none number and address to a WVDHHR office in Charleston.	
Reside would	Information included in exhibits2b thru2d verifies thatwas notified of the Resident's intent to appeal the proposed involuntary discharge in a letter dated October 30, 2004, and that she would be represented byAttorney at Law. Exhibits2c and 2d are correspondence betweenand	
aggres chrono they ca on-one medica suppor	Representatives testifying on behalf of indicated that the Resident has exhibited aggressive, and sometimes uncontrollable, violent behaviors. Exhibit Brightwood-1, a documented chronological record of incidents involving the Resident, was entered into evidence to support their claim that they cannot keep other residents safe. representatives contend that they cannot provide the one-on-one care that the Resident requires due to the staff / resident ratio. They have tried redirection and medication to modify aggressive behaviors but this has been unsuccessful, in part, because is not always supportive of their efforts. They are proposing that be transferred to a facility in that is better suited to address behavioral issues.	

- 4. Exhibit \_\_\_\_\_-1, PAS-2000 competed on 12/29/04, reveals the results of a Level II evaluation completed on January 10, 2005. Ph.D, Licensed Psychologist, made the determination that \_\_\_\_\_ is appropriate for nursing facility care and she provided the following conclusion in the Summary and Recommendations section of her evaluation "Regardless, her primary diagnosis is a dementia. She is not a member of the Level II population. The determination of the Level I screening, nursing facility services eligible, is binding."
- There is no evidence to indicate the introduction or implementation of a formal Behavior Management Plan prior to the Notice of Involuntary Discharge, however, a Behavior Management Plan was drafted on January 17, 2005 (\_\_\_\_\_-3), which provides goals and interventions to address and divert aggressive behaviors. Representative testifying on behalf of were uncertain if this plan was going to work, however, indicated that the implementation of this plan could be effective in addressing undesirable behaviors.
- 6. The October 6, 2004 notice fails to meet the requirements found in the Code of Federal Regulations found at 483.12(a) (4)-(6). This notice fails to include the location to which the resident was being discharged, it fails to outline the correct appeal process, and the notice does not include contact information for the agency responsible for the protection of developmentally disabled individuals or the agency responsible for the protection and advocacy of mentally ill individuals, both of which are required when an individual has a diagnosis of mental illness and mental retardation. These discrepancies are noted in exhibit\_\_\_\_\_-2e.
- 7. According to Federal Regulations at 42 CFR § 483.12(a)(2), a nursing facility must permit each resident to remain in the facility and not transfer or discharge the resident from the facility unless:
  - (i) The transfer or discharge is necessary for the resident's welfare and the resident's needs cannot be met in the facility;
  - (ii) The transfer or discharge is appropriate because the resident's health has improved sufficiently so the resident no longer needs the services provided by the facility;
  - (iii) The safety of individuals in the facility is endangered;
  - (iv) The health of individuals in the facility would otherwise be endangered;
  - (v) The resident has failed, after reasonable and appropriate notice, to pay for (or have paid under Medicare or Medicaid) a stay at the facility. For a resident who becomes eligible for Medicaid after admission to a facility, the facility may charge a resident only allowable charges under Medicaid; or
  - (vi) The facility ceases to operate.

- 8. The Code of Federal Regulations, 42 § CFR § 483.12(a)(6), states that the written notice specified in paragraph (a) (4) of this section must include the following:
  - (i) The reason for transfer or discharge;
  - (ii) The effective date of transfer or discharge;
  - (iii) The location to which the resident is transferred or discharged;
  - (iv) A statement that the resident has the right to appeal the action to the State;
  - (v) The name, address and telephone number of the State long term care ombudsman;
  - (vi) For nursing facility residents with developmental disabilities, the mailing address and telephone number of the agency responsible for the protection and advocacy of developmentally disabled individuals established under Part C of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act; and
  - (vii) For nursing facility residents who are mentally ill, the mailing address and telephone number of the agency responsible for the protection and advocacy of mentally ill individuals established under the Protection and Advocacy for Mentally Ill Individuals Act.

#### VIII. DECISION

The Code of Federal Regulations allows for the involuntary discharge of a resident when the safety of individuals in the facility is endangered. While the documented episodes of combative and aggressive behavior introduced by are clearly safety concerns, the difficulty in upholding proposed discharge weighs heavily on three very important facts; 1) There is no evidence to indicate that a "Behavior Management Plan," or any formalize plan, was implemented to address the Resident's behaviors prior to the proposed involuntary discharge, 2) The Resident underwent a Level II evaluation and was determined to be appropriate for nursing facility care, and 3) The Notice of Involuntary Discharge fails to meet notification requirements found in the Code of Federal Regulations.

Based on the evidence submitted, it is the decision of the State Hearing Officer to **reverse** the proposal of Brightwood Center to discharge \_\_\_\_\_.

#### IX. RIGHT OF APPEAL

See Attachment.

#### X. ATTACHMENT

The Claimant's Recourse to Hearing Decision.