

State of West Virginia DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES Office of Inspector General Board of Review 203 E. Third Avenue Williamson, WV 25661

Patsy A. Hardy, FACHE, MSN, MBA Cabinet Secretary

May 20, 2010

Dear ----:

Joe Manchin III

Governor

Attached is a copy of the findings of fact and conclusions of law on your hearing held March 18, 2010. Your hearing request was based on the Department of Health and Human Resources' decision to approve you for homemaker hours at a Level C care instead of Level D.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearings Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

Eligibility for the Aged/Disabled Waiver program is based on current policy and regulations. These regulations provide that number of homemaker service hours is determined based on the Level of Care (LOC). The Level of Care is determined by evaluating the Pre-Admission Screening Form (PAS) and assigning points to documented medical conditions that require nursing services. Program services are limited to a maximum number of units/hours which is reviewed and approved by WVMI (Aged/Disabled Home and Community Based Waiver Policy and Procedures Manual § 501.3).

The information submitted at your hearing revealed that you do not meet the medical criteria required for Level D care.

It is the decision of the State Hearings Officer to **Uphold** the action of the Department to award homemaker hours as a Level C.

Sincerely,

Stephen M. Baisden State Hearings Officer Member, State Board of Review

cc: Erika Young, Chairman, Board of Review Kay Ikerd, RN, WV Bureau of Senior Services

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

-----,

Claimant

v.

Action Number: 09-BOR-2246

West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources,

Respondent

DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER

I. INTRODUCTION:

This is a report of the State Hearing Officer resulting from a fair hearing concluded on May 20, 2010 for ----. This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in the Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources. This fair hearing was convened on March 18, 2010 on a timely appeal, filed November 18, 2009.

II. PROGRAM PURPOSE:

The Program entitled Aged/Disabled Waiver (ADW) is administered by the West Virginia Department of Health & Human Resources.

The ADW Program is defined as a long-term care alternative that provides services that enable an individual to remain at or return home rather than receiving nursing facility (NF) care. Specifically, ADW services include Homemaker, Case Management, Consumer-Directed Case Management, Medical Adult Day Care, Transportation, and RN Assessment and Review.

III. PARTICIPANTS:

----, Claimant

-----, Claimant's Daughter and Witness

Claimant's Representative

Kay Ikerd, RN, WV Bureau of Senior Services, Department's Representative Teena Testa, RN, West Virginia Medical Institute (WVMI), Department's Witness Presiding at the Hearing was Stephen M. Baisden, State Hearing Examiner and a member of the Board of Review.

This Hearing was conducted by telephone conference call.

The Hearing Examiner placed all participants under oath at the beginning of the hearing.

IV. QUESTIONS TO BE DECIDED:

The question to be decided is whether or not Claimant's homemaker hours were correctly rated at a "C" level of care.

V. APPLICABLE POLICY:

Aged/Disabled Home and Community Based Waiver Policy Manual, chapter 501.3.2.1 and chapter 501.3.2.2.

VI. LISTING OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ADMITTED:

Department's Exhibits:

- D-1 Aged/Disabled Home and Community Based Services Waiver Policy Manual Chapter 501.3.2.1 and 501.3.2.2
- D-2 Pre-Admission Screening (PAS) Form dated November 5, 2009
- D-3 Notice of Decision dated November 12, 2009

VII. FINDINGS OF FACT:

1) Department's representative submitted into the record the applicable policy for this hearing. (Exhibit D-1.) Aged/Disabled Home and Community Based Waiver Policy Manual Chapter 501.3.2.1 and 501.3.2.2 states:

There will be four levels of care for clients of ADW homemaker services. Points will be determined based on the following sections of the PAS:

- #23- Medical Conditions/Symptoms 1 point for each (can have total of 12 points)
- #24- Decubitis- 1 point

- #25- 1 point for b., c., or d.
- #26- Functional abilities Level 1- 0 points Level 2- 1 point for each item a. through i.
 Level 3- 2 points for each item a. through m.; i. (walking) must be equal to or greater than Level 3 before points are given for j. (wheeling) Level 4 - 1 point for a., 1 point for e., 1 point for f., 2 points for g. through m.
 #27 Professional and Technical Care Needs 1 point
- #27- Professional and Technical Care Needs- 1 point for continuous oxygen
- #28- Medication Administration- 1 point for b. or c.
- #34- Dementia- 1 point if Alzheimer's or other dementia
- #35- Prognosis- 1 point if terminal

Total number of points possible is 44.

LEVELS OF CARE SERVICE LIMITS

Level A- 5 points to 9 points; 2 hours per day or 62 hours per month Level B- 10 points to 17 points; 3 hours per day or 93 hours per month Level C- 18 points to 25 points; 4 hours per day or 124 hours per month Level D- 26 points to 44 points; 5 hours per day or 155 hours per month

- 2) Department's witness testified that she completed a Pre-Admission Screening (PAS) for the Aged and Disabled Waiver Services (ADW) program on November 5, 2009. (Exhibit D-2.) The PAS item #23 lists twelve medical conditions and/or symptoms, each of which is worth one point on the evaluation. Claimant was given seven evaluation points for item #23, for angina at rest, angina at exertion, dyspnea, pain, diabetes, mental disorder and other. Other was identified as hypertension. Claimant received one point for item #25, ability to vacate a building. Item #26 lists 13 areas for the evaluator to assess functional levels in various life skills, and assigns points based on the functional level of each skill. Claimant received one point each in the areas of eating, bathing, dressing, grooming and walking, and two points each for continence of bladder, continence of bowel, and transferring, for a total of eleven points. Claimant was given one point for item #28, medication administration. Claimant was awarded a total of 20 points on the PAS and was approved for Level C care. WVMI communicated its decision to Claimant in a Notice of Decision dated November 12, 2009. (Exhibit D-3.)
- 3) Claimant's representative, her home health case manager, testified that when he received the PAS from WVMI, he reviewed it and found it to be an accurate evaluation. He stated that he could not find fault any item on the PAS that would change the allocation of points in any way. He testified that when he received the

hearing request from Claimant, he reviewed it again, twice, and found nothing that would make a difference on the level of care that she received.

- 4) Claimant's witness testified that Claimant should have received one more point on her PAS, for item number 34, a diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease or dementia. She stated that Claimant has had a diagnosis of dementia for the last two years. Department's representative responded that on the request for re-evaluation submitted by Claimant's primary care physician did not include a diagnosis of dementia or any related conditions. Also, Department's representative checked Claimant's list of medications and did not note any medications for dementia. Therefore, it would have been impossible for Department's witness to credit Claimant for dementia on the PAS. Claimant's representative stated that he noted the possibility that Claimant could have received a point for Alzheimer's disease, dementia or related condition, but given the fact that this may have given Claimant one more point on the PAS and she needed six points to move to the next level of care, he decided not to pursue this area.
- 5) Claimant's representative testified that Claimant felt 124 hours per month (Level of Care C) is not enough time to take care of her properly. Neither Claimant's representative nor her witness presented any medical evidence that would substantiate the awarding of more points on Claimant's PAS.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- Policy dictates that an individual's Level of Care for the Aged/Disabled Waiver Program is determined by the number of points obtained on the PAS assessment tool. The Claimant was awarded 20 points as the result of a PAS completed by WVMI in November 2009.
- 2) No PAS points for Alzheimer's disease, dementia or related condition will be awarded because Department's witness, the nurse reviewer who completed the November 2009 PAS, did not have a diagnosis from Claimant's physician of this condition.
- 3) Since no additional points will be added to Claimant's PAS evaluation score, it will remain at 20 points. She meets the medical criteria required to receive a Level C of care.

IX. DECISION:

It is the decision of the State Hearing Officer to **uphold** the decision of the Department to award Claimant Level C care.

X. RIGHT OF APPEAL:

See Attachment

XI. ATTACHMENTS:

The Claimant's Recourse to Hearing Decision

Form IG-BR-29

ENTERED this 20th day of May 2010.

Stephen M. Baisden State Hearing Officer