

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Earl Ray Tomblin Governor

Board of Review 2699 Park Avenue, Suite 100 Huntington, West Virginia 25704

September 24, 2012

Rocco S. Fucillo Cabinet Secretary

-------------Dear -----:

Attached is a copy of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law on the SNAP Administrative Disqualification Hearing held August 16, 2012, for the purpose of determining whether an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) was committed by you.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

Eligibility for SNAP, formerly known as the Food Stamp Program, is based on current policy and regulations. Some of these regulations state as follows: Intentional Program Violations shall consist of having intentionally: (1) made a false or misleading statement or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts or (2) committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any State statute relating to the use presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt or possession of Food Stamp coupons. Individuals found to have committed an act of Intentional Program Violation will be ineligible for a specified time determined by the number of previous Intentional Program Violation disqualifications. (West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 20.2; Code of Federal Regulations 7 CFR §273.16)

Information submitted at the hearing reveals that you falsely reported your household composition in order to receive SNAP benefits for which you were not entitled.

It is the decision of the State Hearing Officer that an Intentional Program Violation was committed by you and a disqualification penalty of two (2) years will be applied. Your disqualification from SNAP will begin effective November 1, 2012.

Sincerely,

cc:

Todd Thornton State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

Erika H. Young, Chairman, Board of Review Cassandra Burns, Department Representative

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

IN RE:	,
--------	---

Defendant,

v. ACTION NO.: 12-BOR-1569

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES,

Movant.

DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER

I. INTRODUCTION:

This is a report of the State Hearing Officer resulting from an Administrative Disqualification Hearing for -----. This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in the Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources. This hearing was convened on August 16, 2012.

II. PROGRAM PURPOSE:

The purpose of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is to provide an effective means of utilizing the nation's abundance of food "to safeguard the health and well-being of the nation's population and raise levels of nutrition among low-income households." This is accomplished through the issuance of benefits to households who meet the eligibility criteria established by the Food and Nutrition Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

III. PARTICIPANTS:

Cassandra Burns, Department representative

Presiding at the Hearing was Todd Thornton, State Hearing Officer and a member of the State Board of Review.

IV. QUESTION TO BE DECIDED:

The question to be decided is whether or not the Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) and should be disqualified for a specified period from participation in SNAP.

V. APPLICABLE POLICY:

Code of Federal Regulations, 7 CFR §273.16

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 20.2; Chapter 9.1.A.2.h; Chapter 9.1.A.1.b(2)

VI. LISTING OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ADMITTED:

Department's Exhibits:

- D-1 Code of Federal Regulations, 7 CFR §273.16
- D-2 Benefit recovery referral screen print
- D-3 SNAP claim determination form and supporting documentation
- D-4 Court documentation of felony drug conviction
- D-5 Court documentation of felony drug conviction
- D-6 Combined Application and Review Form (CAF) and Rights and Responsibilities form, dated November 8, 2010
- D-7 Case comments, entries dated November 17, 2010
- D-8 Combined Application and Review Form (CAF) and Rights and Responsibilities form, dated May 6, 2011
- D-9 West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 1.2.E
- D-10 West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 20.2
- D-11 West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 20.6
- D-12 Notification of Intent to Disqualify; Waiver of Administrative Disqualification Hearing; Postal return receipt

VII. FINDINGS OF FACT:

- The Investigations and Fraud Management (IFM) unit of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources (Department) is alleging an act of Intentional Program Violation (IPV) in the Defendant's case due to her falsely reporting household composition affecting the Defendant's eligibility for SNAP, formerly known as the Food Stamp Program.
- The hearing convened as scheduled at 3:00 p.m., and as of 3:15 p.m., the Defendant failed to appear. As set forth in regulations (7 CFR §273.16(e)(4)), and State Policy (West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources Common Chapters Manual, 740.20), the hearing was conducted without the Defendant in attendance.

- 3) The Code of Federal Regulations, 7 CFR §273.16(c), defines an IPV as:
 - (c) Definition of intentional Program violation. Intentional Program violations shall consist of having intentionally:
 - (1) made a false or misleading statement, or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts; or
 - (2) committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any State statute for the purpose of using, presenting, transferring, acquiring, receiving, possessing or trafficking of coupons, authorization cards or reusable documents used as part of an automated benefit delivery system (access device).
- Cassandra Burns, representative for the Department, presented SNAP application documents (Exhibits D-6 and D-8) from eligibility interviews conducted on November 8, 2010, and May 6, 2011, respectively. Both documents note the question, "Has anyone in your household been convicted of a drug felony for possession, use or distribution of controlled substance committed on or after 08/23/96?" and both documents note the response of the Defendant as "no." Also on both documents the Defendant reported her daughter, ------, as present in the household.
- The Department presented a court order (Exhibit D-4) and sentencing order (Exhibit D-5) indicating that ------ was convicted of the drug felony of "Operating or Attempting to Operate a Clandestine Drug Laboratory," after August 23, 1996, and before the SNAP eligibility interviews in question.
- Ms. Burns testified that as a result of the Defendant falsely reporting household composition, she received an overissuance (Exhibit D-3) of SNAP benefits totaling \$520.00 between November 2010 and January 2011. Ms. Burns confirmed that the Defendant has one prior IPV offense, and that the proposed IPV would be a second offense.
- 7) The West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 9.1.A.2.h, states:

h. Intentional Program Violation (IPV)

Persons who have been found guilty of an IPV are disqualified as follows:

- 1st offense: 1 year

- 2nd offense: 2 years

- 3rd offense: Permanent

VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 1) The Department clearly established that the Defendant falsely reported information regarding her household composition. The Defendant responded falsely on two separate occasions to a SNAP eligibility question intended to screen out ineligible drug felons.
- 2) The Department also clearly established the intent of the Defendant to provide misleading information to receive SNAP benefits for which she would not have otherwise been entitled. By falsely reporting household composition, the Defendant caused an overissuance of SNAP benefits totaling \$520.00. The Department was correct in its determination that an IPV was committed by the Defendant.

IX. DECISION:

Intentionally withholding, concealing, or providing misleading facts to secure SNAP benefits constitutes a clear violation of the regulations. Based on the evidence presented, I find the violation intentional.

The Agency's proposal to apply a SNAP disqualification is **upheld**. The Defendant will be disqualified from participation in SNAP for a period of twenty-four (24) months to begin effective November 1, 2012.

X. RIGHT OF APPEAL:

See Attachment

XI. ATTACHMENTS:

The Defendant's Recourse to Hearing Decision

Form IG-BR-29

ENTERED this _____ Day of September 2012.

Todd Thornton State Hearing Officer