

# State of West Virginia DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES Office of Inspector General

Office of Inspector General Board of Review Post Office Box 1736 Romney, WV 26757

Joe Manchin III Governor

Martha Yeager Walker Secretary

	June 5, 2007
Dear Ms,	

Attached is a copy of the findings of fact and conclusions of law on your hearing held May 22, 2007. Your hearing request was based on the Department of Health and Human Resources' claim that you have committed an intentional program violation.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearings Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

Eligibility for the Food Stamp program is based on current policy and regulations. Some of these regulations state as follows: For the purpose of determining, through an administrative disqualification hearing, whether or not a person has committed an intentional program violation, the following criteria will be used: Intentional program violation shall consist of having intentionally (1) made a false or misleading statement or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts or (2) committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp regulations, or any statute relating to the use, presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt, or possession of Food Stamp coupons. (Section B. Appendix A, Chapter 700 of Common Chapters Manual) Individuals found to have committed an intentional program violation shall be ineligible to participate in the Food Stamp Program for a fixed period of time as explained in section 9.1,A,2,g of the WV Income Maintenance Manual and 7 CFR Section 273.16.

The information submitted at your hearing did conclude that you committed an intentional program violation by withholding information regarding your employment and income from LLC.

It is the decision of the State Hearings Officer to **uphold** the proposed action of the Department to apply a Food Stamp Sanction to your case for an intentional program violation and to collect the overpayment which resulted.

Sincerely,

Sharon K. Yoho State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

cc: Erika H. Young, Chairman, Board of Review Karen Crossland, Repayment Investigator

# WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

,	
Defendant,	
v.	Action Number: 07-BOR-1086
West Virginia Department of	

#### DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER

## I. INTRODUCTION:

Health and Human Resources,

This is a report of the State Hearing Officer resulting from a hearing concluded on May 22, 2007 for \_\_\_\_\_. This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in the Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources. This Administrative Disqualification hearing was convened on May 22, 2007 on a request, filed by the Agency on March 23, 2007.

It should be noted here that any adverse action of the agency has been postponed pending a hearing decision. The claimant did appear for the hearing after being properly notified of the hearing date and time.

# II. PROGRAM PURPOSE:

The Program entitled Food Stamps is set up cooperatively between the Federal and State governments and administered by the West Virginia Department of Health & Human Resources.

The purpose of the **Food Stamp Program** is to provide an effective means of utilizing the nation's abundance of food to safeguard the health and well-being of the nation's population and raise levels of nutrition among low-income households. This is accomplished through the issuance of food stamp benefits to households who meet the eligibility criteria established by the Food and Nutrition Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

## III. PARTICIPANTS:

\_\_\_\_\_, Defendant (did not appear) after proper notice of the hearing date. Karen Crossland, Repayment Investigator

Presiding at the Hearing was Sharon K. Yoho, State Hearing Officer and a member of the State Board of Review.

# IV. QUESTIONS TO BE DECIDED:

The question to be decided is whether it was shown by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant has committed an act of intentional program violation.

# V. APPLICABLE POLICY:

Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700, Appendix A, Section B West Virginia Maintenance Manual Section 1.2; 1.4; 9.1; and 20.2

## VI. LISTING OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ADMITTED:

# **Department's Exhibits:**

- D-1 Food Stamp claim determination
- D-2 Verification of employment and income
- D-3 Food Stamp application dated November 16, 2006
- D-4 WV Income Maintenance Policy 1.2
- D-5 WV Income Maintenance Policy 9.1
- D-6 WV Income Maintenance Policy 20.1

## VII. FINDINGS OF FACT:

- 1) The defendant reapplied for Food Stamps in the \_\_\_\_\_ County DHHR office on November 16, 2006 for a two person household.
- 2) The defendant advised the worker that her income was from Student Loans and Child Support. No earnings were reported at the time of the November application.
- The Department received information indicating that the defendant was employed at \_\_\_\_\_'s Club. The worker referred the case to the Investigation and Fraud Management department of DHHR who obtained verification of employment and earnings for the defendant.
- 4) Exhibit D-2 shows that the defendant worked as a dancer from October 14, 2006 through January 5, 2007. During the month of December, she was reported to have

- worked 13 days. Her earnings were reported to be solely reportable tips of \$100. to \$500. per-night.
- 5) The Claims and Collection worker calculated income based on \$100. per-night to be \$1,300. in the month of December which is excessive income for a household of two. Food Stamps over issued for December, 2006 were \$284.
- 6) Exhibit D-3, Food Stamp application, shows an absence of reported earned income. This exhibit also shows that the defendant was advised of her rights and responsibilities to report accurate income and of the consequences of failing to do so.
- 7) **WV Income Maintenance Manual Policy § 1.2, states**: The client's responsibility is to provide information about his circumstances so the Worker is able to make a correct decision about his eligibility.
- 8) **WV Income Maintenance Manual Policy § 1.4, states**: Individuals who have committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) are ineligible for a specified time, determined by the number of previous (IPV) disqualifications.
- 9) **WV Income Maintenance Manual Policy § 20.2 states**: Intentional Program Violations include making false or misleading statements, misrepresentations, concealing or withholding information.
- 10) According to Common Chapters Manual, Chapter 700, Appendix A, Section B, an intentional program violation consists of having intentionally made a false statement, or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts, or committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any statute relating to the use, presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt or possession of food stamp coupons.
- 11) According to policy in WV Income Maintenance Manual Section 9.1,A,2,g, the disqualification penalty for having committed an Intentional Program Violation is twelve months for the first violation, twenty-four months for the second violation, and permanent disqualification for the third violation..

#### VIII. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 1) Policy 20.2 is clear that the intentional withholding of information or giving false information is considered to be in violation of the Food Stamp program rules.
- 2) Policy 1.4 and 9.1 stipulates that if an intentional program violation has been committed, a disqualification penalty must be applied. The disqualification for a first time offense is twelve months.
- 3) The defendant did have an obligation to report accurate information regarding employment and household income. It is clear that she withheld information regarding her earned income.

	4)	Evidence and testimony is clear and convincing that the defendant intentionally withheld income information.
IX.	DECISION:	
	viola It is t twelv	the finding of this Hearing Officer that the defendant did commit an intentional programation; by withhold information necessary for accurate computation of Food Stamp benefits. The ruling of this Hearing Officer to <b>uphold</b> the Department's proposed action to impose a we-month sanction for an Intentional Program Violation effective July 1, 2007 and to seed with collection activities for the overpayment of \$284.
х.	RIG	HT OF APPEAL:
	See A	Attachment
XI.	ATT	ACHMENTS:
	The	Claimant's Recourse to Hearing Decision
	Form	n IG-BR-29
	ENT	ERED this 5th Day of June 2007.
		Sharon K. Yoho State Hearing Officer