State of West Virginia
Source Water Assessment and Protection Program

Source Water Assessment Report

Clendenin Water Department
Kanawha County
PWSID: WV3302010

Prepared by:

West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources
Bureau for Public Health
Office of Environmental Health Services
Source Water Protection Unit

Date: November 2002

Surface Water Public Water Supply Systems
Source Water Assessment and Protection Program (SWAPP)
Susceptibility Report

Prepared by the
West Virginia Bureau for
Public Health, Source
Water Assessment and
Protection Unit

Date Prepared: Tuesday,
November 12, 2002

What is the Purpose of a Susceptibility Report?

A susceptibility report identifies the most significant potential contaminant sources that could threaten the quality of your public water supply. Your susceptibility ranking does not imply poor water quality. Regular water tests best reflect actual water quality. This report will be used by public water supply systems with a surface water source. In addition, this report will enhance West Virginia's existing watershed approach to water quality improvement and protection. Table 1 provides you information on your public water supply.

What is SWAPP?

The SWAPP, established under the Safe Drinking Water Act, requires every state to:

- Delineate the area from which a public water supply system receives its water;
- Inventory land uses within the recharge areas of all public water supplies;
- Assess the susceptibility of drinking water sources to contamination from these land uses; and
- Publicize the results to provide support for improved protection of sources.

Table 1: Public Water Supply (PWS) Information

PWS Name	Clendenin Water Department
PWS Address	P.O. Box 547 Clendenin, WV 25045
PWS ID Number	WV3302010
County	Kanawha
System Type	Community

The West Virginia Bureau for Public Health (WV BPH) is undertaking this task. The rankings of susceptibility of your intake (s) to potential contamination are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Intake Information

Facility Name	Source Name	Design Meets Regulations	Susceptibility Ranking
Clendenin Water Department	Elk River	Yes	High

The BPH Central Office assessed the source, Clendenin Water Department. A file review and field survey were used to conduct the assessment.

What is my Source Water Protection Area (SWPA)?

Unlike ground water aquifers, which have a natural protective layer above them, all surface waters are susceptible to contamination because they are exposed at the surface and lack a protective barrier from contamination. Accidental spills, releases, sudden precipitation events that result in overland runoff, or

contaminant the drinking water at the intake. Because of this, the SWPA consists of two types of delineations.

- **Watershed Delineation Area**

The first type of delineation is the Watershed Delineation Area (WSDA). Figure 1 shows the extent of the WSDA, which covers approximately 1,151 square miles in the Elk River Watershed. The WSDA includes the entire watershed area upstream of the intake up to the boundary of the West Virginia state border, or a topographic boundary. The perimeter of the catchment area provides the water to the water supply intake.

- **Zone of Critical Concern**

The second type of delineation is the Zone of Critical Concern (ZCC). Figure 2 shows the ZCC area, which covers approximately 5,646 acres. The ZCC is a corridor along streams within the WSDA area that warrants a more detailed inventory and management due to its proximity to the surface intake and to the susceptibility to potential contaminants. The ZCC is calculated using a mathematical model that accounts for stream flows, gradient, and area topography. The length of the ZCC is based on a five hour time of travel. The ZCC width is 1,000 feet from each bank of the principal stream and 500 feet from each bank of the tributaries draining into the principal stream.

What is Susceptibility?

Susceptibility is a measure of your intake's potential for contamination from land uses and activities within the SWPA at concentrations that pose a concern. The purpose of the susceptibility analysis is to provide a pointer to what action a public water system should take to further define and reduce susceptibility. This may include recommendations for a more detailed inventory and assessment, monitoring work, or an indication of the type and intensity of source water and other protection activities needed.

The possibility of a release from potential contaminant sources is greatly reduced if best management practices (BMP's) are used. However, the susceptibility determination for your intake did not take into account whether BMP's are being used.

Susceptibility of a drinking water intake does not mean a customer will drink contaminated water. Water Suppliers protect drinking water by monitoring and treating water supplies, and using BMP's and source water protection measures to ensure that safe water is delivered to the tap.

How Was The Water Supply Susceptibility Determined?

Your intake (s) susceptibility is based on the following:

<u>Resource Characterization</u>

The purpose for conducting the Resource Characterization analysis of the delineated SWPA is to obtain an understanding of its physical, biological, chemical, and hydrological characteristics. Four resource characteristics were evaluated:

- The ease that surface runoff transport material can be delivered into the stream;
- The movement through the SWAP area; and
- The biological and chemical health of the surface water resource in the SWAP area.

- **Potential for Surface Runoff to Occur**

The soil types present in the watershed area and the associated soil properties have a direct influence on the potential for surface runoff to occur. As infiltration rate of soil increases, (more precipitation soaking in rather than running off) the contaminant load associated with the reduced runoff should decrease. Table 3 provides a summary of the associated soil groups.

Table 3: Summary of Soil Associations in the WSDA

Soil Associations	**Soil Drainage**	**Topographic Setting**
Buchanan Chavies Pope	Moderately well to well drained	Steeply sloping to nearly level
Gilpin Upshur Vandalia	Well drained	Gently sloping to very steep
Gilpin Upshur Buchanan	Well to moderately well drained	Very steep to strongly sloping
Gilpin Buchanan Pineville	Well to moderately well drained	Very steep to moderately steep
Pineville Gilpin Guyandotte	Well drained	Gently sloping to very steep
Shouns Cateache Meckesville	Well drained	Gently sloping to very steep
Gilpin Laidig	Well drained	Gently sloping to very steep
Calvin High Base Substratum Belmont Meckesville	Well drained	Gently sloping to very steep
Dekalb Buchanan	Well to moderately well drained	Strongly sloping to very steep
Gilpin Dekalb Buchanan	Well to moderately well drained	Strongly sloping to very steep
Cateache Shouns Belmont	Well drained	Gently sloping to extremely steep
Potomac Tioga Holly	Poorly to somewhat excessively drained	Nearly level
Gilpin Buchanan	Well to moderately well drained	Gently sloping to very steep
Gilpin Pineville Lily Buchanan	Well to moderately well drained	Strongly sloping to very steep
Kanawha Hackers	Well drained	Nearly level to gently sloping
Clymer Gilpin Dekalb	Well drained	Strongly sloping to very steep
Gilpin Buchanan Lily	Well to moderately well drained	Strongly sloping to very steep

- **<u>Ease of movement of material into the Stream System (Rate of Overland Material Transport):</u>**

The size, shape, and slope of the SWAP area have a direct influence on material transported by surface runoff. In general, the longer the overland travel distance and travel time that surface runoff has taken in order to reach a stream channel, the greater the chance it has to deposit and filter the contaminants that may occur. Table 4 provides an analysis of the size, shape, and slope

Table 4: Hydrologic Setting

Size of WSDA Area (mi^2)	1,151
Shape of WSDA Area	Long & Narrow
Stream Length (Main Stem) (mi)	170
Average Watershed Slope	10 to 30 %

- **Movement of Water through the Watershed Area**

A number of physical and natural factors can influence the movement of water through the SWAP area. The pattern and development of the drainage network of the SWAP area directly influence the rate of water movement. Evaluation of the hydrologic cycle will provide an indication of the amount of annual rainfall that is absorbed into the ground or becomes runoff. Table 5 summarizes the total mileage of streams contained in the WSDA, average stream gradients of the main stem, average rainfall, the nearest relevant USGS stream gauge, distance to gauge, topographic position of gauge, annual mean discharge, high flow, and low flow.

Table 5: Movement of Water

Number of Stream Miles	1,547
Average Stream Gradient (Main Stem)	15 ft/mi
Average Rainfall (in)	44
Nearest Relevant USGS Stream Gauge	03197000
Distance to Relevant USGS Stream Gauge (mi)	3
USGS Stream Gauge Topographic Position	Upstream
Annual Mean Discharge (cfs)	2,139
High Flow (cfs)	35,300
Low Flow (cfs)	9

- **Review of Water Quality Data**

In order to characterize the condition of the surface water within the watershed, the available chemical and biological water quality data was reviewed. This data was collected as part of the BPH and the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) implementation of the federal Safe Drinking Water Act and Clean Water Act. Water quality data was evaluated to help provide direct pointers to a source of contamination and to direct the focus for additional source evaluations. Additionally, immediate source water protection efforts will be identified by this review.

Available water quality data includes test results from treated drinking water, finished water, and untreated source water (raw water) conducted by the water supplier; ambient water chemistry; biological criteria and monitoring (bacteria, macroinvertibrates and fish); and habitat evaluation. The sampling requirements for public water systems vary depending on the type of system and the federal regulated testing requirements. Therefore, a lack of water quality impacts may indicate the lack of a certain type of sampling rather than a lack of contamination.

Summary of Raw and Finished Water Quality Results from Public Water System

Water sampling conducted by Clendenin Water Department did not indicate any substantial water quality issues. Chemical constituents of concern identified via water quality testing during the past five

For additional information on the finished water quality, please review the consumer confidence report for a yearly summary of the water quality.

Summary of Chemical and Biological Water Quality Results from the West Virginia DEP

In 2000, the DEP conducted biological and chemical water quality monitoring on 153 streams totaling 832 miles in the Elk River watershed for the 305(b) report, as a requirement of the federal Clean Water Act. Of the 832 stream miles assessed, 220 (26%) were fully supporting their overall designated uses, 492 (59%) were fully supporting but threatened, 72 (8.6%) were partially supporting, and 47.53 (5.7%) were non-supporting. Considering major and moderate/minor impacts, the principal causes of impairment in the watershed are metals (71.80 miles), siltation (47.08 miles), and habitat alteration (non-flow) (34.64 miles). Additional significant causes of impairment are pH (34.42 miles) and fecal coliform (32.92 miles). Considering both major and moderate/minor impacts, the principal sources of pollution in the watershed are unknown source (55.24 miles), petroleum activities (47.08 miles), and abandoned mining (33.02 miles). Additional significant sources of impairment are hydromodification (27.30 miles) and silviculture (25.31 miles). During this reporting cycle, 460.41 miles of stream in the Elk River watershed were monitored for toxics. Of these, 65.09 miles (14.1%) had elevated levels of toxics.

The DEP performed an ecological assessment of the Elk River and its tributaries in 1997. Assessments at each site included measurements of physical attributes of the stream and riparian zone, observations of activities and disturbances in the surrounding area, water quality analysis, and benthic macroinvertebrate collection. Of the 145 sites sampled, 26 were impaired, 14 were potentially impaired, 95 were unimpaired, and 10 were collected by incomparable methods and could not be scored.

No streams in the Elk River watershed were assessed for Fish Consumption use. Six streams from the Elk River watershed are on the 303(d) list, including one (Elk River mainstem) on the Primary Waterbody list, four on the Mine Drainage Impaired sublist, and one on the Acid Rain Impaired sublist. Currently, no 303(d) listed streams in the Elk River watershed have had total maximum daily loads (TMDL's) completed.

Note: This section applies to the entire watershed. Therefore, the numbers presented may not reflect conditions that exist in the portion of the watershed area specific to the plant intake.

Summary of Other Available Chemical and Biological Water Quality Data
Not Available

POTENTIAL SIGNIFICANT CONTAMINANT SOURCES (PSCS):

Inventory of Potential Significant Contaminant Sources

The purpose of providing an inventory of certain types of land uses, potential significant contaminant sources, and activities within the SWAP area is to aid in reducing the risk posed to the public drinking water supply. The following subsections provide information regarding the methodology used to generate the inventories.

The inventory portion of the SWAP consists of two steps:

- The first step is the broad inventory based primarily on regulated and existing databases. The inventory consists of a general land use analysis, the identification of regulated activities in the delineated WSDA areas, and an analysis of road and rail crossings adjacent to the streams in the WSDA area.

- The second step is the detailed inventory of PSCS in the ZCC. The detailed source inventory is conducted to identify PSCS that were not captured in the broad regulated source inventory and to field verify the PSCS in the ZCC. PSCS located during the inventory are found on Figure 2.

A detailed risk-assessment of the PSCS was beyond the scope of this survey because of minimal data and resources. Local decision makers should do the detailed risk analysis because they are better suited to make the bridge from assessment work to protective strategies. The West Virginia SWAP program can provide guidance to the decision makers and help in prioritizing the PSCS sources.

- **Existing (primarily regulated) Database Review**

Table 6: Summary of existing (primarily regulated) PSCS

Table 6 is a summary of existing PSCS based on public information obtained from various federal, state, and local agencies that maintain environmental regulatory databases. These databases provide information about the regulatory status of a property and incidents involving use, storage, spilling or transportation of oil, and hazardous materials.

	NUMBER	PERCENT
WSDA	1764	100
ZCC	4	0.23

- **Summary of the Detailed Inventory**

Table 7: Summary of PSCS within the ZCC

Table 7 is a summary of the detailed inventory of potential contaminant sources in the ZCC. The detailed source inventory was conducted to identify PSCS that were not identified in the existing database review and to verify the location of the regulated PSCS within the ZCC. Additional potential significant contaminant sources that were identified in detailed inventories of the ZCC consist of agricultural (Active logging) commercial activities (Junk Yards), and industrial operations (Consolidated Gas Transmission, Cobb Extraction Plant). Of these PSCS, some of the industrial sources may have large volumes of potential contaminant stored.

Potential Contaminant Source	TOTAL PSCS	PERCENT
AGRICULTURE	1	8
RESIDENTIAL	0	0
MUNICIPAL	0	0
COMMERCIAL	5	42
INDUSTRIAL	6	50

- **Transportation Network**

A summary of the transportation network is shown in Table 8. This information can be used to aid in planning for transportation related accidents that could result in contamination of the source water in the delineated WSDA. Table 9 is a summary of the transportation network stream crossings in the WSDA. Please note that miles of train tracks could be less due to decommissioning of tracks.

Table 8: Transportation Network Summary for WSDA

	Within 100 feet of stream	**Total**
Miles of Interstate	2	39
Miles of Primary	4	171
Miles of Secondary	2	52
Miles of Train Tracks	16	169

Table 9: Transportation Network Stream Crossings in the WSDA

	Train Tracks	**Interstate**	**Primary Roads**	**Secondary Roads**
Number of Stream Crossings	120	22	80	41

- **General Land Use**

The general land use analysis will provide an indication of which land uses predominate throughout the SWAP area, near the intake, or adjacent to the rivers, streams, lakes, and reservoirs. The land use in the SWAP area is shown in Table 10.

Table 10: General Land Use

LAND USE	**WSDA Area (Acres)**	**WSDA % of Total**	**ZCC Area (Acres)**	**ZCC % of Total**
Shrub Land	10,079	1.37	3	0.05
Woodland	672,911	91.38	4,642	82.07
Water	8,892	1.21	704	12.45
Roads	502	0.07	0	0
Power lines	1,811	0.25	47	0.83
Urban	4,500	0.61	191	3.38
Agriculture	32,420	4.40	61	1.08
Barren	4,907	0.67	2	0.04
Wetland	382	0.05	6	0.11

SWAPP Area Assessment and Protection Activities

Analysis of the Resource Characterization and potential significant contaminant sources of the SWAP

contamination based on the following:

- ✓ The long narrow shape, steep topographic setting, and the large size of the WSDA present an increased potential for contamination. An important flood control/recreational impoundment is located on the Elk River at Sutton in Braxton County approximately 60 miles upstream of the intake. In addition, the large number of stream crossings (263 total) provides the opportunity for an accidental release/spill of material to easily get directly into the stream drainage network. Source water protection efforts should be directed toward the establishment of an effective and efficient emergency response plan if one does not currently exist.

- ✓ Current land use practices appear to be having an adverse impact on the ecological health of the Elk River Watershed. Coal, oil, gas, timbering, and sandstone quarries are among the industries present. Agriculture is dominated by livestock and related products. This is evidenced by of the 832.41 miles assessed in the DEP 305(b) report; only 26.5% were fully supporting the overall designated use. Higher bacteria levels are generally concentrated around populations centers, caused by regulated or unregulated discharges. In addition, the health of the Elk River may be impacted by a number of regulated and unregulated point and non-point sources in the ZCC and WSDA.

Recommendations:

- ✓ Protection efforts should focus on the collection of additional information on the point and non-point sources present to evaluate the risk;
- ✓ Work with the Department of Health and Human Resources, other state agencies and local officials to make sure your intake is included in local regulations and inspections efforts;
- ✓ Restrict access to the intake area and post the area with Drinking Water Protection Area signs;
- ✓ Address any biological contaminant issues; and
- ✓ Protection options need to be actively considered to further evaluate and manage all potential contaminant sources and the Clendenin Water Department public water supply should place a high priority on protecting its supply source.

NEXT STEP – SWAP Protection Plan

The next step in source water protection planning is to prepare a SWAP protection plan. The SWAP protection plan incorporates this source water delineation assessment report and three additional sections: Contingency Planning, Alternative Sources, and Management Planning.

Contingency Planning

A contingency plan documents the system's planned response to interruption of the source water supply.

Alternative Sources

Information pertaining to alternative water sources focusing on long-term source replacement should the system be required to develop a new source of water due to contamination (or other

Management Planning

Management planning is the most important element of SWAP. The management plan identifies specific activities that will be pursued by the system to protect their water resources. The system will benefit by taking a proactive approach to source water protection in their watersheds. It is anticipated that most of the management effort will focus on coordination with government agencies and periodic surveys of the watersheds. It may be necessary to conduct a limited number of special studies to determine actual risk and consequences for selected contaminant sources. This information may be needed before decisions can be made on management activities.

Need additional information?

Additional information or sources of information can be obtained by calling or visiting the BPH web site at www.wvdhhr.org/bph/swap or phoning 304-558-2981.

Disclaimer - The coverage's presented in this program are under constant revision as new sites or facilities are added. They may not contain all the potential or existing sites or facilities. The West Virginia Bureau for Public Health is not responsible for the use or interpretation of this information. Please report any inaccuracies on either the map or inventory by phoning 304-558-2981.

Glossary:

Best Management Practices (BMP's) are operational procedures used to prevent or reduce pollution.

Public Water System (PWS) is a system for the provision to the public of pipe water for human consumption, if such system has at least 15 service or regularly serves an average of at least 25 individuals daily at least 60 days of the year.

Water Quality Data is used to help assess both the potential pathogen contamination and other compliance monitoring (Nitrates) parameters associated with public water supplies.

Potential Significant Contaminant Source (PSCS) is a facility or activity that stores, uses, or produces chemicals or elements, and has the potential to release contaminants identified in the state program within a source water protection area in an amount, which could contribute significantly to the contaminants of the source waters of the public water supply.



Map Key

Potential Contaminant Sources

- Agriculture
- Commercial
- Industrial
- Municipal
- Residential

Source Water Protection Area

This map is provided as a public service by the West Virginia Bureau for Public Health. The Bureau makes NO representation regarding completeness or accuracy of the data hereon. Efforts are made to verify and update the data used to generate this map. However, with data sets of this size and nature, eliminating all errors is difficult. Thus, the user assumes total responsibility for verification.

Source locations not included for reasons of security

Clendenin Water Dept.
WV3302010
Kanawha County

Scale: 1:8,000
Drawn by: JEM
08/18/05

Miles
0 1 2