

Chapter 5: District to State Comparison of HIV/AIDS in West Virginia

West Virginia AIDS and HIV Infection Cases and Number of People Living with HIV/AIDS by Public Health District, 1984 - 2000*

LIVIII	West Virginia AIDS, HIV Infection Cases,															
			West	Virgi	nia Al	DS,	HIV Ir	nfect	ion C	ases	,					
		People Living with HIV/AIDS, and Percent Population														
		by Public Health District, AIDS 1984 - 2000, HIV 1989 - 2000														
		% State		AID	S		HI	V (No	t AIDS	5)		To	tal			
	District	Population	Tot	tal	Aliv	'e	Total		Ali	ve	Tot	al PL		WHA		
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
	1	13	131	12	57	44	112	19	105	0	243	15	162	67		
	2	15	159	15	57	36	82	14	77	94	241	15	134	56		
	3	16	264	25	125	47	124	21	121	98	388	23	246	63		
	4	8	60	6	22	37	32	5	28	88	92	6	50	54		
	5 6	9	76 97	7 9	27 40	36 41	22 28	4 5	20 27	91 96	98 125	6 8	47 67	48 54		
	7A	11	95	9	50	53	63	11	61	97	158	10	111	70		
	7B	8	45	4	17	38	31	5	30	97	76	5	47	62		
	8	10	142	13	62	44	92	16	87	95	234	14	149	64		
Table 5.1	Total	100	1,069	100	457	43	586	100	556	95	1,655	100	1,013	61		
		People I	West \	/irginia	Perce	nt of	AIDS, F	IIV Inf	ection,	and				01		
		People L 120 100 - 80 - 40 - 20 - 0 -	West \	/irginia	Perce	nt of	AIDS, He	IIV Inf	ection,	and				61		
		100 - 80 - 40 - 20 -	West \Living w	/irginia	Perce V/AIDS I	oy Pul	AIDS, Head and a second and a s	div infalth D	ection, istrict,	and 1984	- 2000* 7B		3 5	61		

Table 5.1 shows that the percentage of survival for HIV infection cases was higher than that of AIDS cases (95% to 43%). As a result of new drug therapies and earlier access to health care, people with identified HIV disease are living longer, and fewer persons with HIV infection are progressing to AIDS.

Figure 5.1 displays cumulative AIDS cases, number of **People Living With HIV/AIDS(PLWHA)**, and percentage of survival by public health district. District 7A had the highest percentage of survival (70%) followed by District 1 (67%). It is possible that this was due to easier access to health care providers in these districts and new anti-retrovirals, protease inhibitors, combination therapies, and increased prophylaxis for opportunistic infections.

^{*} AIDS data includes April 1984 through December 2000, and HIV data includes January 1989 through December 2000.

West Virginia AIDS Cases Comparison by Public Health District, 1984 - 2000

hy Dublia I	امما	4L F	\: <u></u>		st V	_						-			Da la		 40	0.4	200	
by Public I		_				_					Dis		Dist 7A		Dist 7B		Dist 8		Total	
Characteristic	Dis #	τι %	Dis #	τ Ζ	Dis #	τ 3 %	Dis	τ 4 %	Dis #	ι 5 %	#	%	#	. / A %	#	%	#	%	#	w
Age Group	#	70	#	70	#	70	#	70	#	70	#	70	#	70	#	70	#	70	#	70
Under 5	2	2	0	0	2	1	1 2		0 0		1 1		0 0		0 (1 1		7 1	
5-12	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	2	Ö
13-19	3	2	1	1	1	Ō	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	8	1
20-29	30	23	31	19	45	17	9	15	14	18	12	12	20	21	10	22	19	13	190	18
30-39	54	41	74	47	122	46	22	37	42	55	49	51	46	48	21	47	53	37	483	45
40-49	29	22	42	26	76	29	15	25	13	17	27	28	19	20	7	16	50	35	278	26
50+	13	10	11	7	18	7	12	20	6	8	7	7	8	8	7	16	19	13	101	9
Gender																				
Male	109	83	141		232	88	52	87	73	96	82	85	84	88	36	80	- 1	85	929	87
Female	22	17	18	11	32	12	8	13	3	4	15	15	11	12	9	20	22	15	140	13
Race																				
White	74	56	138	87	215	81	49	82	73	96	91	94	83	87	43	96	97	68	863	81
Black	57	44	19	12	46	17	11	18	1	1	6	6	9	9	2	4	43	30	194	18
Other/Unknown	0	0	2	1	3	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	2	1	12	1
Risk Behavior	FO	40	4051	00	101	00	001	17	401	0.4	00	00	401	40	40	40	F01	- 14	F001	
MSM IDU	52 36	40 27	105	66	181	69	28	47	49	64	60	62	46 14	48	19	42	58	41	598	56
MSM/IDU	10	2 <i>1</i>	16 12	10 8	33 11	13 4	11 3	18 5	5 4	7 5	9 7	9 7	6	15 6	8 4	18 9	42 7	30 5	174 64	16 6
Coag. Disorder	9	7	12	1	4	2	1	2	7	9	0	0	8	8	4	9	3	2	37	3
Hetero. Contact	16	12	12	8	18	7	9	15	2	3	9	9	10	11	4	9	14	10	94	9
Transfusion	1	1	3	2	5	2	3	5	2	3	5	5	2	2	4	9	9	6	34	3
NIR*/Other	5	4	10	6	10	4	3	5	7	9	5	5	9	9	2	4	8	6	59	6
Pediatric	2	2	0	0	2	1	2	3	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	1
TOTAL CASES	13	1	15	9	26	4	60		76		97		95		45		142		1069	
% of Report	12	2	1:	5	25		6	6		7		9		9		4		13		0
% of Population	1:	3	1:	5	10	6	8	3	9	9 9		9 11		8		10		100		

Table 5.2

The distribution of the 1069 AIDS cases by public health district is shown in Table 5.2. District 3 experienced the greatest occurrence of AIDS cases, with 25% of the reports and 16% of the population. Other district with disproportionate occurrence of AIDS cases was District 8 (10% of the population and 13% of reported AIDS cases).

- ➤ Whites in districts 2, 5, 6, 7A, and 7B were proportionalley more affected (87%, 82%, 96%, 87%, and 96% to 81%) than whites statewide.
- ➤ Males in districts 2, 3, 4, and 7A were 89%, 88%, 96%, and 88% of reported AIDS cases, as compared to 87% of cases statewide. Females in districts 1, 6, 7B, and 8 should be targeted.
- > The risk behavior that should be targeted is MSM for AIDS cases in districts 2, 3, 5, and 6(66%, 69%, 64%, and 62% to 56% statewide). IDU in districts 1, 7B, and 8 were proportionately greater than the state (27%, 18%, and 30% to 18%).

West Virginia HIV Infection Cases Comparison by Public Health District, 1989 - 2000

					_								mpa							
by Public	Hea	lth l																		
Characteristic		Dist 1		Dist 2		Dist 3		Dist 4		Dist 5		Dist 6		Dist 7A		Dist 7B		Dist 8		tal
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Age Group Under 5	41	4	0	0	4.1	4	0			0 0		0 0							4	4
5-12	1 0	0	0	0	1	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6 0	0 1	0 1	4	0
13-19	2	2	2	2	14	11	2	6	1	5	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	2	24	4
20-29	46	41	42	51	49	40	8	25	9	41	9	32	22	35	14	45	28	30	227	39
30-39	47	42	28	34	39	31	13	41	8	36	15	54	28	44	8	26	30	33	216	37
40-49	15	13	8	10	16	13	6	19	4	18	4	14	8	13	3	10	23	25	87	15
50+	1	1	2	2	5	4	3	9	0	0	0	0	5	8	3	10	8	9	27	5
Gender			,														,			
Male	56	50	60	73	100	81	20	63	19	86	21	75	56	89	23	74	71	77	426	73
Female	56	50	22	27	24	19	12	38	3	14	7	25	7	11	8	26	21	23	160	27
Race																				
White	54	48	66	59	81	72	16	50	19	86	20	71	25	40	25	81	45	49	351	60
Black	55	49	16	14	35	31 7	14	44	2 1	9 5	7 1	25	29	46	4	13	44	48	206	35
Other/Unknown	3	3	0	0	8	/	2	6	1	5	1	4	9	14	2	6	3	3	29	5
Risk Behavior MSM	35	31	34	44	65	ΕO	10	24	14	64	15	54	29	46	15	40	33	36	250	43
IDU	35	31	11	41 13	11	52 9	10 11	31 34	0	64 0	15 3	11	11	46 17	15 4	48 13	23	36 25	109	19
MSM/IDU	5	4	3	4	2	2	1	3	0	0	2	7	2	3	1	3	4	4	20	3
Coag. Disorder	3	3	0	ó	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	4	1	2	1	3	0	Ö	7	1
Hetero. Contact	18	16	19	23	15	12	4	13	5	23	6	21	7	11	6	19	15	16	95	16
Transfusion	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	5	1
NIR*/Other	15	13	15	18	27	22	5	16	3	14	1	4	12	19	2	6	15	16	95	16
Pediatric	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	1	1	5	1
TOTAL CASES	11	2	8	2	124		32		22		28		63		31		92		586	
% of Report	19	9	1	4	21		5	5 4		ļ <u> </u>	5		11		5		16		100	
% of Population	1:	3	1	5	1	6	8	3	Ç)	9		11		8		10		100	

Table 5.3

The distribution of the 586 HIV infection cases by public health district is shown in Table 5.3. Districts 1, 3, and 8 experienced disproportionate greater occurrence of HIV infection cases, with 19%, 21%, and 16% of the reports and 13%, 16%, and 10% of the state population respectively.

- ➤ Whites in districts 2, 3, 5, 6, and 7B were proportionately more affected (80%, 65%, 86%, 71%, and 81% to 60%) than whites statewide. Blacks in districts 1, 4, 7A, and 8 should be targeted.
- ➤ Males in districts 3, 5, 6, 7A, and 8 were 81%, 86%, 75%, 89%, 74%, and 77% of reported HIV infection cases, as compared to 73% of cases statewide. Females in districts 1, 6, 7B, and 8 should be targeted. Females in District 1 were disproportionately affected when compared to the state(50% to 27%).
- The risk behavior that should be targeted is MSM for AIDS in districts 3, 5, 6, 7A, and 7B(52%, 64%, 54%, 46%, and 48% to 43% statewide). IDU in districts 1, 4, and 8 were proportionately greater than the state (31%, 34%, and 25% to 19%). Heterosexual contact risk behavior in District 2 should be targeted.

West Virginia People Living with HIV/AIDS Comparison by Public Health District, 1984 - 2000

	1	Wes	st Vi	rgin	ia P	eop	ole L	_ivir	ng w	ith l	HIV/	AID:	S Co	mp	aris	on						
by P	ublic	с Не	alth) Di	stric	t, A	ge (3ro u	лр, С	€en	der,	Ra	ce, a	and	Risl	k Be	ehav	ior				
AIDS 1984 - 2000, HIV 1989 - 2000 Dist 1 Dist 2 Dist 3 Dist 4 Dist 5 Dist 6 Dist 7A Dist 7B Dist 8 PLWHA																						
Characteristic	Dist 1				Dist 2		Dist 3		Dist 4		Dist 5		Dist 6						Dist 8		PLWHA	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Age Group	- 8		- 1										- 1						_ 1			
Under 5	3	2	0	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	8	1		
5-12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0		
13-19	2	1	3	2	15	6	2	4	2	4	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	1	28	3		
20-29	58	36	47	35	67	27	12	24	12	26	10	15	36	32	15	32	31	21	288	28		
30-39 40-49	63 26	39 16	46 31	34 23	95 55	39 22	19 11	38 22	23 10	49 21	39 16	58 24	49 17	44 15	18 5	38 11	56 50	38 34	408 221	40 22		
50+	10	6	7	23 5	12	22 5	1 1 5	10	0	0	2	24 3	8	7	6	13	9	ა 4 6	59	6		
Gender	10	J	,	J	12	J	3	10	U	U		3	J	′	U	13	9	J	39	0		
Male	97	60	104	78	208	85	35	70	42	89	55	82	97	87	35	74	122	82	795	78		
Female	65	40	30	22	38	15	15	30	5	11	12	18	14	13	12	26	27	18	218	22		
Race	00	10	001		00		. 0	- 00	٦		1			10	1			.0	210			
White	84	52	111	83	184	75	28	56	42	89	56	84	64	58	40	85	81	54	690	68		
Black	75	46	23	17	52	21	21	42	2	4	10	15	35	32	5	11	64	43	287	28		
Other/Unknown	3	2	0	0	10	4	1	2	3	6	1	1	12	11	2	4	4	3	36	4		
Risk Behavior					·				Ů		·		·		·		Ů					
MSM	63	39	61	46	155	63	18	36	30	64	39	58	52	47	23	49	53	36	494	49		
IDU	44	27	20	15	22	9	14	28	2	4	6	9	19	17	7	15	45	30	179	18		
MSM/IDU	7	4	8	6	7	3	3	6	1	2	7	10	5	5	3	6	6	4	47	5		
Coag. Disorder	6	4	1	1	2	1	1	2	3	6	1	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	17	2		
Hetero. Contact	24	15	25	19	22	9	8	16	6	13	11	16	11	10	9	19	23	15	139	14		
Transfusion	1	1	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	8	1		
NIR*/Other	14	9	19	14	31	13	5	10	5	11	3	4	20	18	3	6	20	13	120	12		
Pediatric	3	2	0	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	1	1	9	1		
TOTAL CASES	16		13	_	246		50		47		67		111		47		149		1013			
% of Report	1		1:		2		į		5		7		11		5		15		100			
% of Population	1:	3	1:	5	1	6	8	3	9		9		1	1	8	8	1	0	10	0		

Table 5.4

The distribution of the 1013 People Living With HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) by public health district is shown in Table 5.4. District 3 has the hightest number and proportion of PLWHA, with 24% of the reports and 16% of the population. Other districts with disproportionate number of PLWHA were District 1 (13% of the population and 16% of PLWHA) and District 8 (10% of the population and 15% of PLWHA).

- ➤ Blacks in districts 1, 4, 7A, and 8 were disproportionally affected (46%, 42%, 32%, and 43% to 28%) than whites statewide. Whites in districts 2, 3, 5, 6, and 7B should be targeted.
- ➤ Males in districts 3, 5, 6, 7A, and 8 were 85%, 89%, 82%, 87%, and 82% of PLWHA, as compared to 78% of cases statewide. Females in District 1 were disproportionately affected when compared to the state(40% to 22%).
- > The risk behavior that should be targeted is MSM for PLWHA in districts 3, 5, and 6(63%, 64%, and 58% to 49% statewide). IDU in districts 1, 4, and 8 were proportionately greater than the state (27%, 28%, and 30% to 18%). Heterosexual contact risk behavior in districts 2 and 7B should be targeted.

Notes: